

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

WEDNESDAY, THE 25TH DAY OF MARCH 2015/4TH CHAITHRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 9629 of 2015 (C)

PETITIONER(S):

- 1. V.P.JOY, AGED 43 YEARS,S/O.POULOSE,
VADAKKUMCHERRY HOUSE, MARAMCODE DESOM,
CHATTIKULAM P.O, THRISSUR DISTRICT- 680 721**
- 2. V.P POULOSE,VERAMPLAVU HOUSE,
MARAMCODE DESOM, CHATTIKULAM P.O.,
THRISSUR DISTRICT -680 721**

**BY SMT.SUMATHY DANDAPANI,SENIOR ADVOCATE
ADVS. SRI.MILLU DANDAPANI
SMT.ANNA THOMAS**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY, AGRICULTURAL DEPARTMENT,
SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM -695 001**
- 2. THE COMMISSIONER OF AGRICULTURE PRODUCTION,
GOVERNMENT OF KERALA,SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM- 695 001**
- 3. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,THRISSUR- 680 001**
- 4. THE TAHSILDAR,
MUKUNDAPURAM TALUK, THRISSUR DISTRICT- 680 125**
- 5. THE VILLAGE OFFICER,
KUTTICHIRA VILLAGE, THRISSUR- 680 125**
- 6. AGRICULTURAL OFFICER,KRISHI BHAVAN,
KODASSERY,THRISSUR -680 725**
- 7. LOCAL LEVEL MONITORING COMMITTEE,
KODASSERY GRAMA PANCHAYAT,
REPRESENTED BY ITS CHAIRMAN AND PRESIDENT,
KODASSERY GRAMA PANCHAYAT OFFICE,
CHATTIKKULAM P.O, THRISSUR DISTRICT- 680 721**

WP(C).NO.9629/2015

8. BABU E.V, AGED 39 YEARS,
S/O.VASU, ETTIYEDATHU HOUSE, XIV/140,
KUTTICHIRA VILLAGE, MARANKODE DESOM,
P.O CHATTIKULAM, CHALAKUDY VIA, THRISSUR -680 721

9. ABILASH, AGED 28 YEARS,
S/O.NANAPPAN, CHIRAYIL HOUSE, XIV/141,
KUTTICHIRA VILLAGE, MARANKODE DESOM,
P.O CHATTIKULAM, CHALAKUDY VIA, THRISSUR -680 721

R1 TO R7 BY SR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.JOSEPH GEORGE

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 25-03-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

sts

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, J.

.....
W.P.(C)No.9629 OF 2015
.....

Dated this the 25th March, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioners have approached this Court challenging Ext.P8 order passed by the third respondent/District Collector.

2. Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioners and also the learned Government Pleader as well.

3. It is seen that the petitioners are having an effective alternate remedy against Ext.P8 order, by virtue of mandate under Section 28 of the Act 28 of 2008, by approaching the first respondent/Government. In the said circumstance, the petitioners are relegated to move the first respondent/Government, by way of Revision under Section 28 of the Act. If any such Revision Petition is filed within 'two weeks' from the date of receipt of a copy of the judgment, the same shall be considered and appropriate orders shall be passed, at the earliest, at any rate, within three months thereafter, of course, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners and other interested parties, if any, including the respondents 8 and 9 . Status quo shall be maintained till such

time.

The writ petition is disposed of. The petitioners shall produce a copy of the judgment along with a copy of the writ petition before the first respondent/Government for further steps.

P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON
JUDGE

/k