

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

WEDNESDAY, THE 25TH DAY OF MARCH 2015/4TH CHAITHRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 9619 of 2015 (B)

PETITIONER:

**K.ADARSH
RUGMINI BHAVANAM
MUTHUKATTUKARA
NOORANAD, ALAPPUZHA**

BY ADV. SRI.O.D.SIVADAS

RESPONDENT:

**THE SECRETARY,
REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
PATHANAMTHITTA - 676 505**

BY SR. GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. BIJU MEENATTOOR

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 25-03-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

DCS

WP(C).No. 9619 of 2015 (B)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- P1 : COPY OF THE PERMIT ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER'S STAGE CARRIAGE
DATED 7.1.2015**
- P2 : COPY OF THE APPLICATION FOR REPLACEMENT SUBMITTED BY THE
PETITIONER DATED 28.01.2015**
- P3 : COPY OF THE G.O. (P). NO. 85/2013/TRAN DATED 30.08.2013**
- P4 : COPY OF THE PROCEEDING DATED 03.02.2015 ISSUED BY THE
RESPONDENT**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:- NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE

DCS

V. CHITAMBARESH, J

W.P.(C). NO. 9619 OF 2015

Dated this the 25th day of March, 2015

JUDGMENT

Ext.P4 order placing reliance on the amendment brought in by Ext.P3 Government Order is obviously bad. This is because the amendment brought in by Ext.P3 Government Order has already been struck down. This is so done by judgment in W.P.(C). No. 5728/2015 on the file of this Court.

2. Ext. P4 order is quashed and the matter is remitted to the respondent. The respondent shall reconsider Ext. P2 application de hors the amendment brought in by Ext. P3 Government Order. The needful shall be done within a period of two weeks from the date of production of a copy of this judgment.

The writ petition is disposed of.

**V. CHITAMBARESH
JUDGE**

DCS