

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

THURSDAY, THE 26TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/7TH PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No. 11806 of 2013 (A)

PETITIONER:

**VIJEESH P.
S/O.SREENARAYANAN, SREENILAYAM,
THRIPRANGODE P.O.
ALATHIYOR, THIRUR, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT
PIN 676108
(REGISTERED OWNER OF VEHICLE BEARING REG.NO.KL-55/M-2289-TIPPER
LORRY).**

BY ADV. SRI.K.K.MOHAMED RAVUF

RESPONDENT:

**THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VALANCHERRY POLICE STATION, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT
PIN 676552.**

R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.M.MUHAMMED SHAFI

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 26-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

vmr.

WP(C).No. 11806 of 2013 (A)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

**EXHIBIT-P1: TRUE COPY OF THE REGISTRATION CERTIFICATE OF PETITIONER'S
VEHICLE BEARING REG.NO.KL-55/M.2289.**

**EXHIBIT-P2: TRUE COPY OF THE MAHAZAR PREPARED BY THE RESPONDENT DATED
30.4.2013.**

EXHIBIT-P3: TRUE PHOTOGRAPH OF THE VEHICLE.

**EXHIBIT-P4: THE TRUE COPY OF ORDER DATED 3.4.2009 IN W.P.(C) NO.11206/2009 OF
THIS HON'BLE COURT.**

**EXHIBIT-P5: TRUE COPY OF ORDER DATED 22.12.2010 IN WP(C) NO.38246/2010 OF
THIS HON'BLE COURT.**

**EXHIBIT-P6: TRUE COPY OF ORDER DATED 11.3.2011 IN WP(C) NO.7689/2011 OF THIS
HON'BLE COURT.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A.TO JUDGE

vmr.

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON J.

~~~~~

W.P.(C) No. 11806 of 2013

~~~~~

Dated, this the 26th day of February, 2015

JUDGMENT

The vehicle bearing No. **KL 55 M 2289** was seized by the **respondent/S.I. of Police** alleging offence under the Mines and Mineral (Development and Regulation) Act and the Kerala Minor Mineral Concession Rules. The main ground of challenge is that, the respondent is not having the jurisdiction or authority to effect seizure.

2. Heard both the sides.

3. The authority of the respondent to effect seizure has already been considered by this Court and the power and competence has been upheld as per the decision reported in **Aloshias C. Antony Vs. Government of Kerala** [2014(1) KLT 536]. The said decision was rendered, also taking note of the nature of offence which is a 'cognizable' one (notwithstanding anything contained in the Cr.P.C) as stipulated in Section 21(6) of MMDR Act, 1957 and also placing reliance on the judgment rendered by a Division Bench of this Court in **Construction Materials Movers Association V. State of Kerala**

[2008 (4) KLT 909]. In the said circumstance, there is no tenable ground to call for interference.

4. When the matter came up for consideration on **3.5.2013**, the vehicle was caused to be released, **on execution of a simple bond**. In the said circumstance, the further course of action required is to surrender the vehicle before the respondent, so as to enable the respondent to produce it before the concerned Magistrate having jurisdiction over the area and to proceed with steps for prosecution, unless the offence is sought to be compounded.

5. The petitioner expresses desire to compound the offence by virtue of the enabling provisions under the relevant provisions of law. This Court finds it fit and proper to permit the petitioner to have it compounded on satisfying the compounding fee of Rs.25,000/- within **two weeks** from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. Once the offence is compounded, no prosecution proceedings will lie in view of the law declared by this Court in **Digil Vs. Sub Inspector of Police** [2013(1) KLT 600]. It shall be reported to the concerned Magistrate, if the crime has already been reported. If there is any failure in compounding the offence, the

W.P.(C) No. 11806 of 2013

: 3 :

respondent shall pursue further steps to **seize the vehicle** and proceed with steps for prosecution.

The writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

**P. R. RAMACHANDRA MENON,
(JUDGE)**

kmd