

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

WEDNESDAY, THE 18TH DAY OF MARCH 2015/27TH PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No. 8566 of 2015 (U)

PETITIONER:

HABEEB KANNU, AGED 73 YEARS,
S/O.IBRAHIM KUNJU, MINI BHAVAN,
VENJARAMOOD, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADVS.SRI.M.ZIYAD
SRI.SANU.S.PANICKER
SRI.T.A.PRAKASH
SRI.K.M.SREEJITH

RESPONDENTS:

1. MANAGING DIRECTOR, KERALA STATE ROAD
TRANSPORT CORPORATION
OFFICE OF MANAGING DIRECTOR
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695001.

2. R.S. SHYNE, CONDUCTOR,
KSRTC SUB DEPOT, ATTINGAL,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.

BY SRI.M.GOPIKRISHNAN NAMBIAR, SC, KSRTC

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 18-03-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- EXT.P1 : THE TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 23/05/2014 PASSED BY
THE DTO, ATTINGAL.
- EXT.P2 : THE TRUE COPY OF THE REVISION PETITION DATED 20/12/2014
FILED BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE.

V. CHITAMBARESH, J

WP(C) NO. 8566 OF 2015

Dated this the 18th day of March, 2015

JUDGMENT

A minor penalty of censure has been imposed on the second respondent under Ext.P1 memorandum. The same has been challenged by the petitioner in Ext.P2 revision petition with the first respondent. The petitioner seeks an expeditious consideration of Ext.P2 revision petition in the circumstances.

2. The first respondent expresses a doubt as regards the maintainability of Ext.P2 revision petition. Firstly it is contended that the petitioner is not a party to Ext.P1 memorandum. Secondly it is contended that a third party is not entitled to maintain a petition for revision. Thirdly it is contended that Ext.P2 revision petition is at any rate barred by limitation. The above aspects are to be considered by the first respondent at the time of final hearing.

3. I direct the first respondent to consider Ext.P2 revision petition within a period of two months. The same shall be done with notice to the petitioner as well as the second respondent.

The Writ Petition is disposed of.

**V. CHITAMBARESH
JUDGE**