

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE AG.CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

WEDNESDAY, THE 18TH DAY OF MARCH 2015/27TH PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No. 8476 of 2015 (H)

PETITIONER(S):

L.VASANTHAKUMARI AMMA, AGED 82 YEARS
W/O. LATE K. VELAYUDHAN NAIR,
VAYAKKAL ANUSHA, KANCHIYOORKONAM, KATTAKKADA,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

BY ADV. SRI.V.V.NANDAGOPAL NAMBIAR

RESPONDENT(S):

1. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
OFFICE OF SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
RURAL, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT
PIN - 695 002
2. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KATTAKKADA POLICE STATION, KATTAKKADA,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT - 695 572
3. PREETHA P.V., ANUSHA, KANCHIYOORKONAM,
KATTAKKADA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM -695 572
4. SRI. AJI., JOTHI BHAVAN,
KRA 51 C, KANCHIYOORKONAM,
KATTAKKADA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM -695 572
5. SRI. VENUGOPAL,
PADMASREE, KANCHIYOORKONAM,
KATTAKKADA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM -695 572
6. SRI. ASHOKAN, SREELATHA BHAVAN,
KANCHIYOORKONAM,
KATTAKKADA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM -695 572
7. SRI. SUKUMARAN NAIR,
ASWATHY BHAVAN, KANCHIYOORKONAM,
KATTAKKADA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM -695 572

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. C.R. SHYAMKUMAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR
ADMISSION ON 18-03-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY
DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 8476 of 2015 (H)

AAPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXTS:

EXT.P1: TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINTS
DATED 2-3-2015 PREFERRED TO 1ST RESPONDENT

EXT.P2: TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT
DATED 2-3-2015 PREFERRED TO THE 2ND
RESPONDENT

ASHOK BHUSHAN , Ag. CJ,
&
A.M.SHAFFIQUE, J.

.....
W.P. (C) No. 8476 of 2015 – H
.....

Dated this the 18th day of March, 2015

JUDGMENT

Ashok Bhushan, Ag.CJ.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader. No notice is being issued to the party respondents in view of the order being passed.

2. By this Writ Petition, the petitioner has prayed for a mandamus directing respondents 1 and 2 to provide adequate police protection to the life and property of the petitioner without any hindrance from respondents 3 to 7. Respondent No. 3 is the daughter-in-law of the petitioner. The relation between the husband and wife were strained and the matrimonial disputes are pending in different courts including a complaint before the Magistrate Court filed by the wife. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that appeal is also pending before this Court.

3. The learned Government Pleader, on instructions, submitted that the wife obtained an order of residence in the house from the Court of the Magistrate and she is residing along with her two teen aged daughters. Petitioner who is an 82 year old lady has come up with the Writ Petition.

4. Learned Govt. Pleader further submits that no threat or any incident did take place and in fact this petition is a sequel to the dispute between the petitioner and the 3rd respondent.

5. In view of the fact the issues sought to be raised in the Writ Petition cannot be entertained, no direction as prayed for can be granted. We only observe that in any event, if there is any any breach of law and order, the police shall look into the matter and do the needful.

With the above observation, this Writ Petition is closed.

Sd/- ASHOK BHUSHAN ,
Ag. CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/- A.M.SHAFFIQUE,
JUDGE

ari/

/truecopy/

P.S.toJudge