

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 18TH DAY OF MARCH 2015/27TH PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No. 8043 of 2015 (E)

PETITIONER :

**MOHAMED BIFFIN,
VEETILAVALLAPPIL HOUSE, PAROOR,
PUNNAYOORKULAM, THRISSUR.**

BY ADV. SRI.I.DINESH MENON

RESPONDENT :

**THE SECRETARY,
REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
PALAKKAD-678 001**

BY SR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.BIJU MEENATTOOR

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 18-03-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

sts

WP(C).No. 8043 of 2015 (E)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- P1: TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION FOR TEMPORARY PERMIT DATED
13.02.2015 WITH CHALAN.**
- P2: TRUE COPY OF THE RC BOOK OF THE VEHICLE KL-8 Y 2085.**
- P3: TRUE COPY OF THE AGENDA IN ITEM NO. 28**
- P4: TRUE COPY OF THE DECISION IN ITEM NO.28**
- P5: TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN WPC .NO.2510/2015 DATED 23.01.2015**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS: NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A.TO.JUDGE

sts

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.
=====

W.P.(C) No.8043 of 2015 - E
=====

Dated this the 18th day of March, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner seeks for consideration of a temporary permit application.

2. The learned Government Pleader on instruction submits that, the route in which the temporary permit was sought for was not clear and a clarification was sought for from the petitioner. The application did not indicate an intermediate point in the route Paravalavu, which was however shown in the proposed timings. That was why, a clarification was sought. It is submitted that, there are two routes and it was not specified even in the clarification as to what was the route on which the petitioner intended to traverse. In such circumstance, the petitioner would be entitled to clarify the route, in which the petitioner wishes to apply for the temporary permit before the authority.

Leaving open such liberty, the writ petition would stand closed.

Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE

SB

// true copy //

P.A to Judge