

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT:**

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON**

**TUESDAY, THE 24TH DAY OF MARCH 2015/3RD CHAITHRA, 1937**

**WP(C).No. 7276 of 2015 (H)**  
-----

**PETITIONER :**  
-----

**F.RAJA, S/O.FRANCIS, AGED 40 YEARS,  
THEVARKATTIL HOUSE, PALLIVASAL VILLAGE,  
DEVIKULAM TALUK, IDUKKI DISTRICT.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.N.K.KARNIS  
SRI.LATHEESH SEBASTIAN**

**RESPONDENT(S):**  
-----

- 1. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,  
COLLECTORATE, PAINAVU, IDUKKI DISTRICT- 685 603**
- 2. REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER,  
DEVIKULAM, IDUKKI DISTRICT- 685 613**
- 3. THE TAHSILDAR,TALUK OFFICE,  
DEVIKULAM, IDUKKI DISTRICT -685 613**
- 4. VILLAGE OFFICER,  
PALLIVASAL VILLAGE, IDUKKI DISTRICT- 685 565**

**R1 TO R4 BY SR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.K.C.VINCENT**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION  
ON 24-03-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE  
FOLLOWING:**

**sts**

**WP(C).No. 7276 of 2015 (H)**  
-----

**APPENDIX**

**PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS**  
-----

- EXHIBIT P1    TRUE COPY OF THE LAND TAX RECEIPT DATED 07-07-2014**
- EXHIBIT P2    TRUE COPY OF THE POSSESSION CERTIFICATE OF THE 4TH  
RESPONDENT DATED 22-01-2015**
- EXHIBIT P3    TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER OF THE SECRETARY OF THE PALLIVASAL  
GRAMA PANCHAYAT**
- EXHIBIT P4    TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION OF THE PETITIONER DATED  
10-02-2015**
- EXHIBIT P5    TRUE COPY OF THE REPORT OF THE 4TH RESPONDENT TO THE 3RD  
RESPONDENT DATED 10-02-2015**
- EXHIBIT P6    TRUE COPY OF THE GENUINENESS CERTIFICATE ISSUED BY THE 3RD  
RESPONDENT DATED 05-09-2011**

**RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:**                      **NIL**  
-----

**/TRUE COPY/**

**P.A.TO JUDGE**

**sts**

**P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON J.**

~~~~~

W.P.(C) No. 7276 of 2015

~~~~~

Dated, this the 24<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2015

**JUDGMENT**

The grievance of the petitioner is with regard to non-issuance of genuineness certificate to the petitioner by the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent, despite favourable report submitted by the village officer/4<sup>th</sup> respondent in this regard, referring to oral direction given by the first respondent.

2. It is brought to the notice of this Court by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the third respondent had issued genuineness certificate in favour of the petitioner in the year 2011, as borne by Ext. P6. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the only relief now pressed before this Court is to cause Ext. P4 representation preferred by the petitioner for issuance of genuineness certificate to be considered and disposed of within a reasonable time.

3. Heard the learned Government Pleader as well.

4. Considering the limited extent of relief sought for, this Court does not find it necessary to adjudicate the matter of merits. The writ petition is disposed of, directing the third respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext. P4 representation, in accordance

*W.P.(C) No. 7276 of 2015*

: 2 :

with law, after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, at the earliest, at any rate, within six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

Petitioner shall produce a copy of this judgment along with copy of the writ petition before the third respondent for further steps.

Sd/-

**P. R. RAMACHANDRA MENON,  
(JUDGE)**

kmd