

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE AG.CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

WEDNESDAY, THE 4TH DAY OF MARCH 2015/13TH PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No. 6743 of 2015 (P)

PETITIONER(S):

RAVEENDRAN MANNUKANDIYIL, AGED 55 YEARS
S/O.KANDUKUTTI, MANNUKANDIYIL HOUSE
P.O.KANNANKARA CHELANNUR, KOZHIKODE-673 616.

BY ADV. SRI.E.NARAYANAN

RESPONDENT(S):

1. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
VADAKARA KOZHIKODE DISTRICT, PIN-673 513.

2. THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KAKKUR POLICE STATION, KAKKUR P.O
KOZHIKODE PIN-673 613.

3. SURENDRAN, S/O.GOPALAN
PANAMBIL (H), KANNANKARA P.O, KOZHIKODE DT.
PIN-673616.

4. SASI P., S/O.GOPALAN
PANAMBIL(H), KANNANKARA P.O, OLOPPARA
PIN-673 616.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER C.R. SYAMKUMAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR
ADMISSION ON 04-03-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY
DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 6743 of 2015 (P)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS

EXT.P1 - TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT DATED 31-01-15 SUBMITTED BEFORE THE FIRST AND SECOND RESPONDENTS.

EXT.P2 - TRUE COPY OF THE ACKNOWLEDGMENT CARD EVIDENCING RECEIPT OF EXHIBIT P1 NOTICE BY THE FIRST RESPONDENT.

EXT.P3 - TRUE COPY OF THE RECEIPT DATED 31-01-15 OBTAINED FOR SENDING EXHIBIT P1 COMPLAINT TO THE SECOND RESPONDENT.

ASHOK BHUSHAN , Ag. CJ,
&
A.M.SHAFFIQUE, J.

.....
W.P. C. No. 6743 of 2015 – I
.....

Dated this the 4th day of March, 2015

JUDGMENT

Shaffique, J.

Petitioner has approached this Court seeking police protection. It is inter alia contended that his son had purchased 25 cents of land at Oloppara in Kozhikode District. When the petitioner along with his workers had gone to the said property for taking usufruct from the property, they were obstructed by respondents 3 and 4. Though a complaint was preferred before the 1st and 2nd respondents, no action has been taken in the matter and, hence, the petitioner has approached this Court by filing this Writ Petition.

2. The averments made in the Writ Petition would clearly

disclose that the petitioner is unable to enjoy the property which belongs to his son and obstruction is caused by party respondents in the matter relating to enjoyment of the property. It is apparently a civil dispute to which the police cannot interfere. The police can interfere only if there is law and order situation.

In the above said circumstances, we are of the view that the petitioner will have to approach the appropriate civil court for necessary reliefs.

With the above observation, this Writ Petition is closed.

Sd/-ASHOK BHUSHAN ,
Ag. CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/- A.M.SHAFFIQUE,
JUDGE

ari/

/true copy/

P.S.toJudge