

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

TUESDAY, THE 3RD DAY OF MARCH 2015/12TH PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No.6700 of 2015 (J)

PETITIONER:

**S.PRABHAKARAN,(C/ROLL NO.221,
KUMARAMKUDI ESTATE S.F.C.K.),
PRAHLATHA VILASOM,VERUKUZH,
KARAVOOR P.O.,PIRAVANTHOOR,KOLLAM DISTRICT.**

BY ADV. SRI.MANOJ RAMASWAMY

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. STATE OF KERALA,REPRESENTED BY THE
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT,
SECRETARIAT,THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**
- 2. THE MANAGING DIRECTOR,
STATE FARMING CORPORATION OF KERALA LIMITED,
VETTITHITTA P.O.,ALIMUKKU,PUNALUR-689 696.**

**R1 BY SENIOR GOVT. PLEADER SRI.VIJULAL
R2 BY SMT.LATHA KRISHNAN,S.C**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 03-03-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

pk

WP(C).No.6700 of 2015 (J)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

**EXT.P1:TRUE COPY OF THE OFFICE ORDER NO.SFCK/PER/T&P 2072
DATED 03/10/2008 (RELEVANT PORTION).**

**EXT.P2:TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER DATED 25/11/2015 SUBMITTED BY THE
PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT.**

**EXT.P3:TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER NO.S.F.C.K./KY 221/3445 DATED 22/12/2014
ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT.**

**EXT.P4:TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 09/01/2015 SENT TO THE
1ST RESPONDENT WITH COPY TO THE 2ND RESPONDENT.**

**EXT.P5:TRUE COPY OF THE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE RECEIPT
DATED 14/01/2015.**

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS:

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

pk

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J.

W.P.(C).No. 6700 of 2015

Dated this the 3rd day of March, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner approached this Court on account of the non-consideration of Ext.P4 representation by the 1st respondent. The issue highlighted in Ext.P4 is for claim of salary from 3.10.2008 onwards. During which time he had worked as Vehicle Attender. The petitioner's grievance is in fact considered by the Managing Director by Ext.P3. The petitioner's claim has been rejected and the petitioner was directed to report for duty. The petitioner submits that denial of his claim for salary is illegal and unsustainable. The petitioner also seeks the regularisation of the post.

2. In the light of Ext.P4, appropriate decision shall be taken by the 1st respondent in the matter after hearing the petitioner and the 2nd respondent within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

Sd/

**A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE,
JUDGE**

jm/