

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

MONDAY, THE 2ND DAY OF MARCH 2015/11TH PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No.6454 of 2015 (F)

PETITIONER:

**A.N.SAHJI,MANU VILLA,THOTTAMON,
RANNY,PATHANAMTHITTA.**

BY ADV. SRI.P.DEEPAK

RESPONDENT:

**THE SECRETARY,REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
PATHANAMTHITTA,PIN - 689 645.**

BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI.R.RANJITH

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 02-03-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

pk

WP(C).No.6454 of 2015 (F)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1:A TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 03/02/2015.

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS:

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

pk

K. Vinod Chandran, J.

=====

W.P.(C)No.6454 of 2015

=====

Dated this the 2nd day of March, 2015.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner in the above writ petition is a regular stage carriage permit holder operating vehicle bearing registration No. KL-03 M/45 in the route Erumely-Ranni. The timings of the service was last allotted on 5.10.2004, hence the petitioner filed an application to revise the timings by Ext.P1 dated 3.2.2015. The petitioner prays for an expeditious consideration of his application. If Ext.P1 application has been received in original in the office of the respondent, the respondent shall, within one week of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment, display public notice in the notice board of the office of the respondent and shall then consider the prayer of the petitioner, in accordance with law, at any rate, within a period of three months

from the date of publication of the above notice. Needless to say the petitioner and any other rival operator who objects to the revision shall be heard before such consideration. The revision if made shall also be subject to any further revision made as per D3 Circular.

Writ petition is disposed of as above.

K. Vinod Chandran, Judge.

sl.