

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE AG.CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

FRIDAY, THE 27TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/8TH PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No. 6382 of 2015 (W)

PETITIONER(S) :-

JOSE, AGED 54 YEARS, S/O.ANCHILOSE,
CHOTTUKUNNIL VEEDU, NANDIRIKKAL, VELLIMON P.O.
KOLLAM.

BY ADVS.SRI.K.SIJU
SRI.S.ABHILASH
SMT.S.SEETHA

RESPONDENT(S) :-

1. THE DISTRICT POLICE CHIEF,
MAKKAMKUNNU, PATHANAMTHITTA-689 645.
2. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE, ADOOR POLICE STATION,
PATHANAMTHITTA-689 694.
3. ANEESH, S/O.PAREETHUKUTTY, PALLASSERIL VEEDU,
MEMANA P.O., OACHIRA, KOLLAM-690 526.

R1 & R2 BY STATE ATTORNEY SRI.P.VIJAYARAGHAVAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 27-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

jvt

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS :-

EXT.P1:THE COPY OF THE RECEIPT IS PRODUCED.

EXT.P1(a):THE ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF EXT.P1.

EXT.P2:THE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND
RESPONDENT DATED 23.02.2015.

EXT.P2(a):THE ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF EXT.P2.

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS :- NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

**ASHOK BHUSHAN, Ag. C.J &
A.M. SHAFFIQUE, J.**

W.P.(C). No.6382 of 2015

Dated this the 27th day of February 2015

J U D G M E N T

Shaffique, J.

The petitioner has approached this Court seeking police protection alleging that the 3rd respondent was interfering with the business being carried on by the petitioner. A perusal of Ext.P2 complaint indicates that there is some financial transaction between the petitioner and the 3rd respondent and certain documents came to be executed in respect of the said liability. According to the petitioner, he intends to shift the goods and materials for a programme to be conducted from 23.2.2015 till 1.3.2015, for which, he requires police protection.

2. Apparently, there is some dispute regarding the property, which cannot be adjudicated by the police. The remedy of the petitioner is to approach the civil court for obtaining appropriate orders. Under such circumstances, we do not think that a direction for police protection can be granted in the matter.

-: 2 :-

Hence, the writ petition is dismissed without prejudice to the right of the petitioner to take any other proceedings as envisaged under the law.

Sd/-
ASHOK BHUSHAN
Ag. CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/-
A.M. SHAFFIQUE
JUDGE

Jvt