

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR

FRIDAY, THE 27TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/8TH PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No.6286 of 2015 (I)

PETITIONER:

**P.YOOSUF,AGED 55 YEARS,S/O.HAMZAKUTTY HAJI,
PALLATH HOUSE,KULUKKALLOOR P.O.,PALAKKAD.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.I.DINESH MENON
SRI.L.RAJESH NARAYAN**

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. AUTHORIZED OFFICER,STATE BANK OF TRAVANCORE,
'SICO TOWER',MAIN ROAD,CHERPLASSERY,PALAKKAD-679503.**
- 2. THE VILLAGE OFFICER,KULUKKALLUR-679503.**

**R1 BY SRI.T.SETHUMADHAVAN (SENIOR ADVOCATE.)
ADV.SRI.PUSHPARAJAN KODOTH
SRI.K.JAYESH MOHANKUMAR
R2 BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI.SUDHEESH KUMAR**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 27-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

pk

WP(C).No.6286 of 2015 (I)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1:TRUE COPY OF THE STATEMENT OF ACCOUNT DATED 21.1.2014.

EXT.P2:TRUE COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE DATED 20.12.2013.

EXT.P3:TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE U/S/13(2) DATED 3.1.2013.

EXT.P4:TRUE COPY OF THE POSSESSION NOTICE DATED 17.7.2013.

EXT.P5:TRUE COPY OF THE POSSESSION NOTICE DATED 24.3.2014.

EXT.P6:TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 16.6.2014 IN WPC.NO.14898/2014.

EXT.P7:TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 24.6.2014.

EXT.P8:TRUE COPOY OF THE RECEIPT DATED 13.8.2014.

EXT.P9:TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 13.8.2014.

EXT.P10:TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN WPC.NO.23185/2014
DATED 20.10.2014.

EXT.P11:TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 22.12.2014.

EXT.P12:TRUE COPY OF THE RECEIPT DATED 22.12.2014.

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS:

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE

pk

A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR, J.

.....
W.P.(C).No.6286 of 2015

.....
Dated this the 27th day of February, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The prayer of the petitioner in the writ petition is for a direction to the respondents to permit the petitioner to settle the liability due to the respondents through a one time settlement facility. When the matter was taken up for admission, it was noticed that the petitioner had already approached this Court on two earlier occasions, when those writ petitions, filed by the petitioner, seeking substantially the same reliefs as claimed in the present writ petition were considered and disposed by Exts.P6 and P10 judgments. Under such circumstances, I am of the view that, the present writ petition claiming substantially the same reliefs as earlier sought for and considered by this Court cannot be maintained at the instance of the petitioner.

Resultantly, the writ petition fails and is accordingly dismissed.

**A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR
JUDGE**

mns

