

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE AG.CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

WEDNESDAY, THE 11TH DAY OF MARCH 2015/20TH PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C) .No. 6038 of 2015 (D)

PETITIONER(S) :

MAGI BABU AGED 52 YEARS
D/O.TREESA MICHAEL, MADAMMA THAPPU, NEENDAKARA VILLAGE
KARUNAGAPPALLY, KOLLAM DISTRICT.

BY ADVS.SRI.SIRAJ KAROLY
SRI.R.PARAMESWARA IYER

RESPONDENT(S) :

1. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
CHAVARA, KOLLAM DISTRICT.

2. CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
CHAVARA, KOLLAM DISTRICT.

3. THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF POLICE
KARUNAGAPPALLY.

4. STATE POLICE CHIEF
KERALA, POLICE HEAD QUARTERS, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

5. TREESA MICHAEL
W/O.MICHAEL, PUTHENVEETIL (GEORGE BHAVAN)
NEELESWARAM THOPPU, NEENDAKARA VILLAGE
KARUNAGAPPALLY.

6. GEORGE MICHAEL
S/O.MICHAEL, PUTHENVEETIL (GEORGE BHAVAN)
NEELESWARAM THOPPU, NEENDAKARA VILLAGE
KARUNAGAPPALLY.

R1 TO R4 BY SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.C.R.SYAMKUMAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
11-03-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 6038 of 2015 (D)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT-P1: TRUE COPY OF THE PLAINT IN O.S.79/15 ON THE FILE OF THE
MUNSIFF COURT, KARUNAGAPPALLY.

EXHIBIT-P2: TRUE COPY OF THE PLAINT IN O.S.70/2015 DATED 3.2.2015 ON
THE FILE OF THE MUNSIFF COURT.

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS

NIL

/TRUE COPY/

PS TO JUDGE

ASHOK BHUSHAN, Ag.CJ & A.M.SHAFFIQUE, J

W.P(C).No.6038 of 2015

Dated this the 11th March, 2015

JUDGMENT

Ashok Bhushan, Ag, CJ.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader. No notice is being issued to respondents 5 and 6, in view of the order, which is being passed.

2. The petitioner has filed this Writ Petition alleging police harassment. The petitioner's case is that although an injunction has already been granted in O.S.No.79/2015 in favour of the petitioner, the Police authorities are calling the petitioner and pressurising to withdraw the suit.

3. The learned Government Pleader, after obtaining instruction, submitted that before the petitioner obtained the injunction order, a complaint was filed by the petitioner against the 6th respondent in which connection, the petitioner was called and a statement was recorded. It

is further submitted that on finding that the dispute is of civil nature, the matter was closed.

In view of the above facts, we do not find that there is any police harassment in the case. Recording the submission of the learned Government Pleader, the Writ Petition is closed.

**ASHOK BHUSHAN
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE**

**A.M.SHAFFIQUE
JUDGE**

vgs11/3/15