

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.V.RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI

WEDNESDAY, THE 21ST DAY OF JANUARY 2015/1ST MAGHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 9698 of 2012 (J)

PETITIONER(S) :

**A.R.VISHNU, AGED 28 YEARS,
S/O.S.ASHOKAN, "LEKSHMI",
HOUSE NO.12, RADHAKRISHNA LANE, VAZHAYILA,
PEROORKADA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 005.**

**BY ADVS.SMT.DAISY A.PHILIPOSE
SRI.JAI GEORGE**

RESPONDENT(S) :

- 1. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM- 695 001.**
- 2. THE COMMISSIONER OF LAND REVENUE,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM- 695 001.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.ABDUL SALAM

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 21-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED
THE FOLLOWING:**

Msd.

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- EXHIBIT P1:** A TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION FOR ARMS LICENSE SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT ON 11.02.2010.
- EXHIBIT P2:** A TRUE COPY OF THE REPORT DATED 17.03.2010 SUBMITTED BY SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE, PEROORKKADA BEFORE THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM CITY.
- EXHIBIT P3:** A TRUE COPY OF THE REPORT DATED 24.03.2010 SUBMITTED BY THE DIVISIONAL FOREST OFFICER, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT.
- EXHIBIT P4:** A TRUE COPY OF THE REPORT DATED 19.05.2010 TAHSILDAR, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TAHSILDAR, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT.
- EXHIBIT P5:** A TRUE COPY OF THE REPORT DATED 02.04.2010 SUBMITTED BY THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM CITY, BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT.
- EXHIBIT P6:** A TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE 1ST RESPONDENT DATED 04.10.2010.
- EXHIBIT P7:** A TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT DATED 26.09.2011.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS

- EXHIBIT R1(A):** A TRUE COPY OF THE GOVERNMENT CIRCULAR NO.76689/F1/09/HOME DATED 14.09.2010.

//TRUE COPY//

P.A.TO JUDGE.

Msd.

A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, J.

W.P.(C) No. 9698 of 2012

Dated this the 21st day of January, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The refusal on the part of the 1st respondent in granting licence to the petitioner for keeping NP Bore 0.32 Pistol/Revolver for his self protection, prompted the petitioner to come up before this Court.

2. On 04.10.2010, the 1st respondent rejected the application of the petitioner stating that the petitioner could not substantiate that he faced any threat to himself and that he needed a licensed weapon.

3. Today, when the matter came up for hearing, the learned Government Pleader reiterated the contention raised in the rejection order.

4. In this context, the learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is ready to substantiate the need for the possession of a licensed weapon if an opportunity is provided to him.

..2..

Therefore, the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondent concerned to reconsider the petitioner's application after affording the petitioner an opportunity to substantiate his grievance in full before the authorities concerned.

This exercise shall be completed within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

Sd/-
A.V. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI,
JUDGE

bka/-