

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE AG.CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

TUESDAY, THE 24TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/5TH PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No. 5900 of 2015 (J)

PETITIONER(S) :-

RAJESH, AGED 35 YEARS
S/O.SUKUMARAN, KOODATHINKAL HOUSE, PALLISSERY
ARATTUPUZHA P.O., ARATTUPUZHA VILLAGE
THRISSUR DISTRICT

BY ADV. SRI.RAJIT

RESPONDENT(S) :-

1. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
ERUMAPETTY POLICE STATION, THRISSUR DISTRICT - 686001.
2. LALITHA
W/O.LATE MADHAVAN, NANKULANGARA HOUSE
KIZHAKKUMURI DESOM, KANDANGODU VILLAGE
THALAPPILLY TALUK, THRISSUR DISTRICT - 686 301.
3. GIRIJAMANI
W/O.RAMACHANDRAN, KOPPATIL HOUSE, PALAZHI DESOM
NENMANIKARA VILLAGE, MUKUNDHAPURAM TALUK
THRISSUR DISTRICT - 686 101.
4. DIVYA
D/O.CHANDRAN, PALLISERY DESOM, ARATTUPUZHA VILLAGE
THRISSUR TALUK, THRISSUR DISTRICT - 686 301.

R1 BY SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.C.R.SYAMKUMAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 24-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

jvt

WP(C).No. 5900 of 2015 (J)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS :-

EXT.P1:A TRUE COPY OF THE PROPERTY TAX RECEIPT PAID BY RESPONDENTS 3 & 4.

EXT.P2:A TRUE COPY OF THE ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF EXT P1.

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS :- NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

**ASHOK BHUSHAN, Ag. C.J &
A.M. SHAFFIQUE, J.**

W.P.(C) No.5900 of 2015

Dated this the 24th day of February 2015

J U D G M E N T

Ashok Bhushan, Ag.CJ

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader.

2. By this writ petition, the petitioner alleging police harassment, seeks a direction to the first respondent not to harass the petitioner by pressurizing him to require the 3rd and 4th respondent to transfer the property purchased by them in favour of the 2nd respondent.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the first respondent contacted the petitioner over phone and asked him to come to the station, which amounts to harassment since the petitioner has absolutely no connection with the dispute, which is in the nature of a civil dispute.

4. Learned Government Pleader after obtaining instructions would submit that on a complaint received against the petitioner, the petitioner was called at the station, on which, he replied that he could not attend the station since the dispute is

-: 2 :-

only in the nature of a civil dispute. From the above incident, we do not find a case of any police harassment. Merely because the petitioner was called by a police inspector and asked him to come to the station in connection with a complaint received against him does not amount to any kind of police harassment. We, thus, are not inclined to entertain the writ petition as a police harassment matter.

Hence, the writ petition is dismissed.

**Sd/-
ASHOK BHUSHAN
Ag. CHIEF JUSTICE**

**Sd/-
A.M. SHAFFIQUE
JUDGE**