

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K. VINOD CHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 10TH DAY OF MARCH 2015/19TH PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No. 5783 of 2015 (W)

PETITIONER(S):

1. ANEESH K. AGED 40 YEARS
S/O. KARUNAKARAN, T.12, PREPERATORY
KOTTAYAM TEXTILES, KURUMULLOOR P.O., KANAKKARY
KOTTAYAM.
2. ANEESH A.V., AGED 24 YEARS,
S/O. VIJAYAN, T.NO.306, PREPERATORY
T.12, PREPERATORY, KOTTAYAM TEXTILES
KURUMULLOOR P.O., KANAKKARY, KOTTAYAM.

BY ADVS. SMT. P. REENA
SRI. SUNIL. M. KARANY

RESPONDENT(S):

1. THE KOTTAYAM TEXTILES
(A UNIT OF KERALA STATE TEXTILE CORPORATION LTD)
REPRESENTED BY ITS UNIT-IN-CHARGE, KURUMULLOOR P.O.
KANAKKARY, KOTTAYAM, PIN: 686 639.
2. UNIT IN CHARGE,
THE KOTTAYAM TEXTILES, KURUMULLOOR P.O., KANAKKARY
KOTTAYAM, PIN: 686 639.
3. MANAGING DIRECTOR,
KERALA TEXTILE CORPORATION, ANNAPOORANA, TC 9/2000-01
KOCHAR ROAD, SASTHAMANGALAM
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 010.

BY SRI. V.E. ABDUL GAFOOR SC KERALA STATE TEXTILE CORPO
BY ADV. SRI. V. ABRAHAM MARKOS
BY ADV. SRI. BINU MATHEW
BY ADV. SRI. TOM THOMAS (KAKKUZHIYIL)
BY ADV. SRI. ABRAHAM JOSEPH MARKOS
BY ADV. SRI. ISAAC THOMAS
BY ADV. SRI. NOBY THOMAS CYRIAC

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 10-03-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 5783 of 2015 (W)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

P1: TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION FILED BY THE PETITIONERS ALONG WITH THE POSTAL RECEIPT DATED 28/01/2015.

P2: TRUE COPY OF THE CHARGE SHEET AND SUSPENSION ORDER DATED 30/01/2015.

P3: THE RELEVANT PAGE OF THE STANDING ORDER OF KOTTAYAM TEXTILES

P4: TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION FILED BY THE PETITIONERS BEFORE THE MANAGING DIRECTOR DATED 10/02/2015.

//True Copy//

P.S. To Judge

K.VINOD CHANDRAN, J

W.P.(C).No. 5783 of 2015

Dated 10th March, 2015

JUDGMENT

Petitioners are the workers under the 1st respondent who have been proceeded against for mis-conduct. The petitioners seek certiorari of Ext.P2 notice issued by the 1st respondent.

It is trite that no certiorari can be issued against a show cause notice. When a domestic enquiry has been initiated, necessarily the petitioners would have to face the same. The writ petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is found to be not maintainable and the same is dismissed.

Sd/-

K.VINOD CHANDRAN
Judge

Mrcs

//True Copy//