

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

MONDAY, THE 23RD DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/4TH PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No. 5570 of 2015 (U)

PETITIONER :

**BADHI USMAN,AGED 29 YEARS,
S/O.KODIYIL MOIDEEN KUTTY, PERIMBALAM, ANAKKARA,
PATTAMBI TALUK, PALAKKAD DISTRICT.**

BY ADV. SRI.K.DILIP

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE STATE OF KERALA,
REP.BY ITS SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT,
REVENUE DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.**
- 2. LOCAL LEVEL MONITORING COMMITTEE,
ANAKKARA CONVENED BY THE AGRICULTURAL OFFICER,
KRISHIBHAVAN, ANAKKARA, KUMBIDI.P.O., PIN-679 553.**
- 3. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, PALAKKAD-678 001.**

R1 TO R3 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.JOSEPH GEORGE

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 23-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

sts

WP(C).No. 5570 of 2015 (U)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- EXHIBIT P1: A TRUE COPY OF THE DOCUMENT NO.756/2013 OF SRO,
KUMARANELLUR DATED 22-04-2013.**
- EXHIBIT P2: A TRUE COPY OF THE POSSESSION CERTIFICATE OBTAINED BY THE
PETITIONER WITH RESPECT TO THE PROPERTY DATED 02-09-2013.**
- EXHIBIT P3: A TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER
BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT DATED NIL.**
- EXHIBIT P4: A TRUE COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER
DATED NIL.**
- EXHIBIT P5: A TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER OF DISMISSAL BY THE 2ND
RESPONDENT DATED 15-02-2014.**
- EXHIBIT P6: A TRUE COPY OF THE REVISION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER
BEFORE THE 3RD RESPONDENT DATED 09-01-2015.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS: **NIL**

/TRUE COPY/

P.A.TO.JUDGE

sts

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, J.

=====

W.P.(C). No. 5570 of 2015

Dated this the 23rd day of February, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner has approached this Court with the following prayer:

“Issue an appropriate writ of mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction commanding the respondent No.3 to complete the proceedings as concerning Ext.P6 revision and pass orders on the same within such time as may be fixed by this Hon'ble Court, in the interest of justice.”

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, the grievance of the petitioner has also been projected by way of Ext.P6 revision petition and that the petitioner will be satisfied, if a direction is given to the 3rd respondent to have it considered and finalized within a reasonable time.

2. Heard the learned Government Pleader as well.

3. After hearing both the sides, this Court does not find it necessary to go into the merits of the case. The writ petition is disposed of, directing the third respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P6 revision petition in accordance with

law, after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner at the earliest, at any rate, within 'two months' from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

The petitioner shall produce a copy of the judgment along with a copy of the writ petition before the third respondent for further steps.

**Sd/-
P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON,
JUDGE.**

kp/-