

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

FRIDAY, THE 20TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/1ST PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No. 5428 of 2015 (C)

PETITIONER(S):

R.JAYAPRAKASH, AGED 49 YEARS
S/O. RAMAKRISHNA PILLAI, THEKKE MADOM, IRINGOLE P.O.
PERUMBAVOOR, ERNAKULAM.

BY ADV. SRI.ALEXANDER JOSEPH

RESPONDENT(S):

1. M/S. POWER GRID CORPORATION OF INDIA
LIMITED, REPRESENTED BY ITS CHIEF MANAGER, CHANDINI,
PLOT NO. 190-B, MAVELIPURAM, KAKKANAD
KOCHI - 682 030.
2. THE SPECIAL TAHSILDAR (LA)
POWERGRID CORPORATION OF INDIA LIMITED, KAKKANAD
KOCHI- 682 030.
3. THE SPECIAL TAHSILDAR (LA)
POWERGRID CORPORATION OF INDIA LIMITED,
CHEVARAMBALAM, KOZHIKODE - 17.
4. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
ERNAKULAM - 682 030.

R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.T.R.RAJESH
R BY SRI.MILLU DANDAPANI, STANDING COUNSEL FOR POWER GRID
CORPORATION.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
20-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 5428 of 2015 (C)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT-P1-TRUE COPY OF THE TAX RECEIPT DATED 29/05/2014 ISSUED BY THE
VILLAGE OFFICER, PERUMBAVOOR.

EXHIBIT-P2-TRUE COPY OF THE DETAILED VALUATION OF THE LAND.

EXHIBIT-P3-TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 06/08/2011 OF THE 4TH
RESPONDENT.

EXHIBIT-P4-TRUE COPY OF THE D.D DATED 18/01/2011

EXHIBIT-P5-TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 04/02/2010 ISSUED BY THE
GOVERNMENT OF KERALA.

EXHIBIT-P6-TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER DATED 10/02/2015 OF THE PETITIONER TO
THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE.

V.CHITAMBARESH, J.

W.P (C) No.5428 of 2015

Dated this the 20th day of February, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner contends that he has received compensation under protest in respect of the trees cut as well as the land utilised for erecting the tower. The petitioner points out that he is yet to receive compensation for diminution in land value. This is on account of the fact that electricity lines have been drawn over his property.

2. The petitioner adds that even 20% of the land value accorded by the respondents have not been disbursed hitherto. The petitioner has in this regard submitted Ext.P6 representation to the respondents. I direct the first respondent to consider Ext.P6 representation with notice to the petitioner within one month. I make it clear that the petitioner is free to move the court under Section 16(3) of the Indian Telegraph Act, 1885 if aggrieved by the final compensation fixed.

The writ Petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
V.CHITAMBARESH,
Judge.

nj.