

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V. CHITAMBARESH

TUESDAY, THE 1ST DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015/10TH BHADRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 4927 of 2015 (M)

PETITIONER:

SIMILY SHIBU, W/O. SHIBU, KANIYAMPARAMBIL HOUSE,
MUTTAPPALLY P.O., MUKKUTTUTHARA, REPRESENTED BY
POWER OF ATTORNEY E.R. ANILKUMAR, S/O. RAGHAVAN,
ETTACKAL HOUSE, PONKUNNAM, KOTTAYAM.

BY ADV. SRI. I. DINESH MENON

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE SECRETARY,
REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
KOTTAYAM-686002.
2. PRAKASH T. JOHN, THONDANKULANGARA HOUSE,
KADAYANIKKATTU, KANGAZHA, CHANGANACHERRY-686541.
3. THOMAS V. PHILIP, VAVOLICKAL HOUSE,
PULICKALKAVALA P.O., KOTTAYAM
REP. BY P.A. HOLDER M.M. MATHAI, MELEDATH HOUSE
EDAYARICKAPUZHA, KANGAZHA, KOTTAYAM-686541.

R3 BY ADV. SRI. K. V. GOPINATHAN NAIR
R2 BY ADV. SRI. SAJEEV KUMAR K. GOPAL
BY SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. ANITHA RAVINDRAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 01-09-2015, THE
COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- EXHIBIT P1 : TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS DATED 31.1.2013.
- EXHIBIT P2 : TRUE COPY OF THE REVISION PETITION IN MVARP NO.104/2013
DATED 8.3.2013. (WITHOUT EXHIBITS)
- EXHIBIT P3 : TRUE COPY OF THE INTERIM ORDER WP(C) NO.30690/2014 DATED
18.11.2014.
- EXHIBIT P4 : TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE DATED 7.2.2015.
- EXHIBIT P5 : TRUE COPY OF THE OBJECTION DATED 29.1.2015.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE.

V. CHITAMBARESH, J

WP(C) NO. 4927 OF 2015

Dated this the 1st day of September, 2015

JUDGMENT

Years have elapsed since the timings were last revised to operate service on the route. The timings deserve to be revised especially in accordance with the circular issued by the State Transport Authority. There is also no impediment for convening a timing conference in view of the disposal of WP(C) No. 30690/2014 today.

2. The first respondent is directed to surge ahead with the steps for revision of timings in accordance with the circular aforestated with due notice to all the operators in the route. The needful shall be done within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

3. The first respondent is free to revise timings of all the operators (including the petitioners in both the writ petitions) appropriately in accordance with the circular.

The Writ Petition is disposed of.

**V. CHITAMBARESH
JUDGE**