

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V. CHITAMBARESH

FRIDAY, THE 22ND DAY OF MAY 2015/1ST JYAISHTA, 1937

WP(C).No. 4851 of 2015 (F)

PETITIONER:

SAVIN P.M., S/O. SATHYAN P.M.,
THIYAKKANDY HOUSE, VATTOLI BAZAR P.O.,
BALUSSERY, KOZHIKODE PIN - 673 623.

BY ADVS. SRI. DARSAN SOMANATH
SRI. P. S. ANAGHAN

RESPONDENTS:

1. KERALA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
PATTOM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 004
2. KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION
REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001
3. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
THE SECRETARY, TRANSPORT DEPARTMENT,
GOVT. SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001.

R1 BY ADV. SRI. P. C. SASIDHARAN, SC, KPSC
R2 BY ADV. SRI. M. GOPIKRISHNAN NAMBIAR, SC, KSRTC
BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. GIKKU JACOB

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 22-05-2015, THE
COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- EXHIBIT P1 : TRUE COPY OF THE NOTIFICATION DATED 30.12.2009
- EXHIBIT P2 : TRUE COPY OF NATIONAL TRADE CERTIFICATE OF PETITIONER
- EXHIBIT P3 : TRUE COPY OF THE EXPERIENCE CERTIFICATE OF PETITIONER
DATED 13.8.2012
- EXHIBIT P4 : TRUE COPY OF RANK LIST PUBLISHED ON 23.10.2014
- EXHIBIT P5 : TRUE COPY OF REPLY FROM 1ST RESPONDENT DATED 12.1.2015

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL

V. CHITAMBARESH, J

WP(C) NO. 4851 OF 2015

Dated this the 22nd day of May, 2015

JUDGMENT

There is nothing to show that the experience gained by the petitioner as a blacksmith (sheet metal worker) is in a service which is not continuous and is of casual nature. Ext.P3 experience certificate amply shows that the petitioner has been working continuously from 01.07.2006 to 16.06.2007 ofcourse on daily wages. The payment of wages on daily basis is not a taboo even as per the proforma of the experience certificate reflected in Ext.P1 notification.

2. I therefore direct the first respondent to reckon Ext.P3 experience certificate as valid and include the petitioner in the ranked list if otherwise eligible. This will not however affect the rank assigned to other candidates since they have not been impleaded in this writ petition.

The Writ Petition is disposed of.

**V. CHITAMBARESH
JUDGE**