

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

FRIDAY, THE 13TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/24TH MAGHA, 1936

WP(C) .No. 4731 of 2015 (N)

PETITIONER(S) :

VINU GEORGE,
AGED 39 YEARS, S/O.XAVIER, KARUKAPPILLI VEETIL,
MARADU.P.O., PIN-682304,
REPRESENTED BY THE POWER OF ATTORNEY HOLDER
MATHEW VARGHESE, 50 YEARS, S/O.VARGHESE,
KANNAMPARAYIL, MEEMBARA.P.O., AIKARANAD,
PIN-682308.

BY ADVS.SRI.C.ANILKUMAR (KALLESSERIL)
SRI.C.Y.VINOD KUMAR.

RESPONDENT(S) :

1. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT, CIVIL STATION, KAKKANAD,
KOCHI-682 030.
2. THE VILLAGE OFFICER,
AIKKARANAD SOUTH VILLAGE, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT-682 311.
3. CONVENOR,
LOCAL LEVEL MONITORING COMMITTEE/AGRICULTURE OFFICER,
KRISHI BHAVAN, POOTHUKKA, VADAYAMPADI.P.O.,
PIN-682 308.
4. CHAIRMAN,
DISTRICT LEVEL MONITORING COMMITTEE/AGRICULTURE OFFICER,
KRISHI BHAVAN, ERNAKULAM, PIN-682 030.

BY SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.JOSEPH GEORGE.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 13-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

rvs.

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS :

EXT.P1 TRUE COPY OF THE SALE DEED NO.4620/2010 DATED 01.11.2010
OF PUTHENKURISU SRO.

EXT.P2 TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION DATED 18.03.2012 SUBMITTED BY
THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE THIRD RESPONDENT.

EXT.P3 TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 05.03.2014 ISSUED BY THE
THIRD RESPONDENT.

EXT.P4 TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 16.03.2014 SUBMITTED
BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE FOURTH RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS :

NIL.

/TRUE COPY/

P.A.TO JUDGE

RVS.

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, J.

W.P(C). No. 4731 of 2015

Dated this the 13th day of February, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner has approached this Court with the following prayers :

- “i. issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction directing the fourth respondent to take a decision on exhibit P4 representation submitted by the petitioner within a time frame fixed by this Hon'ble Court.*
- ii. Grant such other and further relief as this Hon'ble Court deems fit and proper to grant in the facts and circumstances of this case.”*

2. Though the petitioner has submitted Ext.P2 application to the 3rd respondent seeking permission to construct a residential house in his property, the same was rejected. Aggrieved by the same, the petitioner has submitted Ext.P4 representation before the 4th respondent, which is pending consideration. It is stated that the petitioner will be satisfied, if a direction is given to the 4th respondent to have it considered and finalised within a reasonable time.

3. Heard the learned Government Pleader as well.

4. After hearing both sides, this writ petition is disposed of, directing the 4th respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P4, in accordance with law, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. It shall be done, as expeditiously as possible, and at any rate, within a period of 'two months' from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

The petitioner shall produce a copy of this judgment along with a copy of this writ petition before the 4th respondent for further steps.

Sd/-

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, JUDGE.