

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU

FRIDAY, THE 20TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/1ST PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No. 4515 of 2015 (L)

PETITIONER(S):

**M/S.SOUTHERN GRANITE INDUSTRIES,
MOOKKUNNIMALA, EDACODE, NEMOM P.O,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM
REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING PARTNER K.J.THOMASKUTTY.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.BECHU KURIAN THOMAS
SRI.PAUL JACOB (P)
SRI.ENOCH DAVID SIMON JOEL
SRI.S.SREEDEV
SRI.RONY JOSE
SRI.GEORGE A.CHERIAN**

RESPONDENT(S) :

- 1. PALLICHAL GRAMA PACNAHAYAT,
VEDIVACHANKOVIL P.O., BALARAMAPURAM,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 501,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY.**
- 2. SECRETARY,
PALLICHAL GRAMA PANCHAYAT, VEDIVACHANKOVIL P.O,
BALARAMAPURAM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 501.**

R1&2 BY ADV. SRI.T.KANANDA KRISHNAN

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 20-02-2015, ALONG WITH W.P.(C).NO.4516 OF 2015, THE COURT
ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

Msd.

WP(C).No. 4515 of 2015 (L)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

EXT P1 : TRUE COPY OF THE PANCHAYAT LICENSE DATED 04-04-2014 ISSUED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT AND ENGLISH TRANSLATION.

EXT P2 : TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION DATED 31-01-2015 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

EXT P2(A) : TRUE ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF EXT. P2.

EXT P2(B) : TRUE COPY OF THE RECEIPT ISSUED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A.TO JUDGE.

Msd.

DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU, J.

W.P.(c) No. 4515 of 2015

&

W.P. (C) No. 4516 of 2015

Dated this the 20th day of February, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioners in the above captioned writ petitions have a common grievance that their applications for renewal of D&O licence has so far not been considered by the respondent Grama Panchayat. Since they have got a common grievance against the same set of respondents, this Court is proposed to dispose of both the writ petitions together through a common judgment.

2. It appears that the D&O licence issued to the petitioners earlier by the respondent Grama Panchayat came to an end by 31.01.2015, when the petitioners are said to have applied for renewal thereof. Ventilating their grievance that their applications have so far not been disposed of, they have filed the present writ petitions.

3. The learned counsel for the respondent Grama Panchayat has submitted that in view of certain unavoidable

administrative exigencies, the second respondent could not consider the applications expeditiously. On instructions, he has submitted that the second respondent is willing to consider the applications filed by the petitioners for renewal of D&O licence at the earliest.

4. In the facts and circumstances, having regard to the respective submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Standing Counsel for the respondent Panchayat, this Court, without adverting to the merits of the matter, disposes of the present writ petition with a direction to the second respondent to consider the applications filed by the petitioners for renewal of D&O licence in accordance with law, and pass appropriate orders thereon, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

With the above observation, these writ petitions are disposed of. No order as to costs.

DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU
JUDGE

DMR/-