

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE AG.CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

THURSDAY, THE 12TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/23RD MAGHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 4506 of 2015 (K)

PETITIONER :

MARY GEORGE, AGED 54 YEARS
W/O.GEORGE, THULAMATTATHIL HOUSE, KALLADIKODE POST,
PALAKKAD DISTRICT.

BY ADVS.SRI.SANTHEEP ANKARATH
SRI.ARUN MATHEW VADAKKAN

RESPONDENTS :

1. CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, MANNARKAD,
PALAKKAD DISTRICT, PIN-678 582.
2. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KALLADIKODE, PALAKKAD DISTRICT, PIN-678 596.
3. SIJO JOSEPH,
S/O.JOSEPH, THULAMATTATHIL HOUSE, KALLADIKODE POST
PALAKKAD DISTRICT, PIN-678 596.
4. JOSEPH @ KUNJUMON,
KANIYANKUNNEL HOUSE, ANJALI NAGAR, PALAKKAD-678 001.

R1 & R2 BY STATE ATTORNEY, SRI.P. VIJAYARAGHAVAN.
R4 BY ADV. SRI.V.K.SHAMUSUDHEEN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
12-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 4506 of 2015 (K)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS :

EXT P1 : TRUE COPY OF PLAINT DT. 06-04-2013 IN OS.NO.56/2013 ON THE FILE
OF THE MUNSIF-MAGISTRATE'S COURT, MANNARKKAD ALONG WITH
ITS ENGLISH TRANSLATION.

EXT P2 : TRUE COPY OF PLAINT DT. 27-12-2014 IN OS.NO.1/2015 ON THE FILE
OF THE VACATION COURT, PALAKKAD (SUB COURT, OTTAPALAM) ALONG
WITH ITS ENGLISH TRANSLATION.

EXT P3 : TRUE COPY OF COMPLAINT DT. 29-01-2015 FILED BY THE PETITIONER
BEFORE THE JUDICIAL FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE'S COURT,
MANNARKKAD AND NUMBERED AS CMP 430/2015 ALONG WITH ITS
ENGLISH TRANSLATION.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS :

NIL

/TRUE COPY/

PA TO JUDGE

ASHOK BHUSHAN, Ag.C.J. & A.M. SHAFFIQUE, J.

W.P.(C) No. 4506 OF 2015

Dated this the 12th day of February, 2015

JUDGMENT

Shaffique, J.

The petitioner has approached this Court seeking for a direction to respondents 1 and 2 not to harass her and her son and not to interfere with the civil suit pending between the petitioner and respondents 3 and 4.

2. The writ petition is filed inter alia stating that the petitioner has civil disputes and civil litigations pending before the Court and respondents 1 and 2 are interfering and forcing the petitioner to settle those cases.

3. Learned State Attorney on instructions would submit that several criminal cases had been registered against the petitioner and respondents 3 and 4 and their family members. For the purpose of investigation of these cases, petitioner as well as respondents are being summoned to the police station. Police has not called upon the parties to resolve the civil dispute as alleged. Having regard to the aforesaid factual circumstances we do not think that mere calling of the

parties to police station in connection with the investigation does not amount to any act of harassment.

Under such circumstances the relief sought for cannot be granted. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed.

**Ashok Bhushan,
Acting Chief Justice.**

**A.M. Shaffique,
Judge.**