

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE AG.CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

TUESDAY, THE 24TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/5TH PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No. 4417 of 2015 (B)

PETITIONER :

P.P.MUHAMMED AGED 57 YEARS
S/O ABOOTTY, PROPRIETOR, M/S. SWARAJ BANKERS
THIRUVAZHIYODE, PALAKKAD DISTRICT.

BY ADVS.SRI.G.HARIHARAN
SRI.PRAVEEN.H.

RESPONDENTS :

1. INSPECTING ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER
COMMERCIAL TAXES, CIVIL STATION, PALAKKAD
PIN:678001.
2. CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
OTTAPPALAM, PALAKKAD DISTRICT, PIN:679101.
3. CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
CHERPULASSERY, PIN:679503.

BY STATE ATTORNEY, SRI.P. VIJAYARAGHAVAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
24-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 4417 of 2015 (B)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS :

EXHIBIT P1: TRUE COPY OF THE LICENCE ISSUED BY THE IAC COMMERCIAL TAXES, PALAKKAD FOR THE BUSINESS CARRIED OUT BY THE PETITIONER AT OTTAPPALAM ON 23.5.2014.

EXHIBIT P2: TRUE COPY OF THE LICENCE ISSUED BY THE IAC COMMERCIAL TAXES, PALAKKAD FOR THE BUSINESS CARRIED OUT BY THE PETITIONER AT THIRUVAZHIYODE ON 23.5.2014.

EXHIBIT P3: TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT MADE IN WPC NO.24958/2014 DATED 5.11.2013.

EXHIBIT P4: TRUE COPY OF THE NOTIFICATION NO.G.O.(P). NO.252/2010/TD DATED 2.11.2010 ISSUED BY THE GOVERNMENT OF KERALA.

EXHIBIT P5: TRUE COPY OF THE CIRCULAR NO.70/2010 DATED 28.12.2010 ISSUED BY THE KSFE, THRISSUR.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS :

NIL

/TRUE COPY/

PA TO JUDGE

ASHOK BHUSHAN, Ag.C.J. & A.M. SHAFFIQUE, J.

W.P.(C) No. 4417 OF 2015

Dated this the 24th day of February, 2015

JUDGMENT

Ashok Bhushan, Ag.C.J.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned State Attorney.

2. This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner alleging police harassment and interference in the petitioner's carrying on business of money lending. In paragraph 9 of the writ petition the petitioner has made certain allegations that the police authorities have directed the petitioner not to accept the title deed as additional security for higher denomination amounts. In paragraph 9 there are no details of any date of incident or any name of person.

3. Learned State Attorney submitted that in fact a complaint was filed against the petitioner by one Sainaba on which both parties were summoned by the Police authorities. Both the parties thereafter appeared before Police and have intimated that they have settled the matter. Thus police has closed the complaint.

4. On the allegations made in the writ petition, we do not find any ground in which Police has interfered in the petitioner's carrying on business.

With the above observation, the Writ Petition is closed.

**Ashok Bhushan,
Acting Chief Justice.**

**A.M. Shaffique,
Judge.**