

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF OCTOBER 2015/6TH KARTHIKA, 1937

WP(C).No. 4328 of 2015 (M)

PETITIONER(S):

**K.P.PRABHAKARAN, AGED 85 YEARS
DEVI NIVAS, KARALMANNA P.O., CHERUPPLASSERY
PALAKKAD.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.S.P.ARAVINDAKSHAN PILLAY
SMT.N.SANTHA
SRI.K.A.BALAN
SRI.V.VARGHESE
SRI.PETER JOSE CHRISTO
SRI.S.A.ANAND
SMT.L.ANNAPOORNA**

RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENTS:

- 1. THE KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD LTD
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, VAIDUTHI BHAVAN, PATTOM
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.**
- 2. THE CHIEF ENGINEER (HRM)
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER
(HUMAN RESOURCES MANAGEMENT)
THE KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD LTD
VAIDUTHI BHAVAN, PATTOM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.**

R1&2 BY ADV. SRI.K.S.ANIL, SC, KSEB

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 28-10-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

WP(C).No. 4328 of 2015 (M)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- EXT.P1: TRUE COPY OF ORDER B.O. 131/95 (PS(SD) 4032/95 DATED 30/01/1995 PASSED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT.**
- EXT.P2: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO. 1552/95 DATED 01/08/1995 ISSUED BY KSEB.**
- EXT.P3: TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 17/08/2005 IN WPC.NO. 24248/2005.**
- EXT.P4: TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION PREFERRED BY THE PETITIONER DATED 16/07/2014.**
- EXT.P5: TRUE COPY OF THE SAID ORDER DATED 17/11/2014 PASSED BY THE RESPONDENT.**
- EXT.P6 COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 20.08.14 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER TO THE SECRETARY, KERALA STATE ELECTRCITY BOARD**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS: NIL

// TRUE COPY //

P.A TO JUDGE.

SB

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

=====

W.P.(C) No.4328 of 2015 - M

=====

Dated this the 28th day of October, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is aggrieved with the non-consideration of Ext.P6 representation filed before the 1st respondent. The representation is filed on 20.08.2014.

2. In such circumstance, the 1st respondent definitely will have to look at the representation filed before it and consider the same in accordance with law, at any rate within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this judgment. It is made clear that this Court has not made any observations on merits, which the 1st respondent will consider, in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of.

**Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE**

SB/ 28/10 /2015

// true copy //

P.A to Judge.