

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR

MONDAY, THE 13TH DAY OF JULY 2015/22ND ASHADHA, 1937

WP(C).No. 4271 of 2015 (H)

PETITIONER(S):

**NARAYANAN POTTI AGED 52 YEARS
S/O KUNJU SAMBU POTTI, PUTHUMANA, VAYALAR P.O.
CHERTHALA, 688536, DIST. KOTTAYAM.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.K.REGHU KOTTAPPURAM
SRI.M.MUKESH
SRI.R.MAHESH (KOTTAPPURAM)
SRI.MURUKESH REGHU**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE TRAVANCORE DEVASWOM BOARD
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY, NANDANCODE
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695003.**
- 2. THE DEVASWOM COMMISSIONER
TRAVANCORE DEVASWOM BAORD, NANDANCODE
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695003.**
- 3. THE ASSISTANT DEVASWOM COMMISSIONER
KOLLAM GROUP, TRAVANCORE DEVASWOM BOARD, DIST. KOLLAM.**
- 4. THE SUB GROUP OFFICER
MUKHATHALA DEVASWOM, TRAVANCORE DEVASWOM BOARD
KOLLAM GROUP, MUKHATHALA, DIST. KOLLAM.**

R BY SRI.A.N.RAJAN BABU, SC, TRAVANCORE DEVASWOM BOARD

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
13-07-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- EXHIBIT P1:** A PHOTO COPY OF REPRESENTATION DATED 8.9.2014.
- EXHIBIT P2:** A PHOTO COPY OF MEMO OF CHARGES VIDE ROC
NO.7644/08/S/DATED 18.6.2011.
- EXHIBIT P3:** A PHOTO COPY OF EXPLANATION DATED 8.9.2014 AGAINST
EXHIBIT P2.
- EXHIBIT P4:** A PHOTO COPY OF LETTER DATED 17.3.2014.
- EXHIBIT P5:** A PHOTO COPY OF LETTER DATED 3.4.2014 SENT BY THE
PETITIONER.
- EXHIBIT P6:** A PHOTO COPY OF LETTER DATED 30.6.2014.
- EXHIBIT P7:** A PHOTO COPY OF LETTER DATED 30.6.2014.
- EXHIBIT P8:** A PHOTO COPY OF LETTER DATED 20.12.2014.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS

NIL

// True copy //

PA to Judge

das

A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR, J.

=====

W.P.(C). No. 4271 of 2015

=====

Dated this the 13th day of July, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner has approached this court with the present writ petition, aggrieved by a denial of employment pursuant to a charge sheet that was served on the petitioner initiating disciplinary proceedings against him. When the matter was taken up for orders today, the learned counsel for the respondent Board produced a copy of the order dated 25.05.2015 indicating that the Board had since decided to drop the proceedings against the petitioner, and to reinstate him in service forthwith. Taking note of the said order dated 25.05.2015 of the Devaswom Commissioner, I am of the view that the grievance of the petitioner in the writ petition stands redressed. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed by noting the contents of the order dated 25.05.2015 and directing the 2nd respondent to issue consequential orders regarding the posting of the petitioner as also payment of allowances, if any, due to the petitioner.

Sd/-

A.K.JAYASANKARAN NAMBIAR
JUDGE