

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT:**

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON**

**THURSDAY, THE 26TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/7TH PHALGUNA, 1936**

**WP(C).No. 6617 of 2013 (B)**  
-----

**PETITIONER :**  
-----

**PRAMOD.P.U., AGED 35 YEARS,S/O. UMESAN,  
PATHAYAPURAKKAL HOSUE, KOONAMOOCHI, KUNNAMKULAM  
THRISSUR DISTRICT. (REGISTERED OWNER OF A MINI LORRY  
BEARING REGISTRATION NO. KL-07 AG/3987)**

**BY ADVS.SRI.BABU S. NAIR  
SMT.SMITHA BABU**

**RESPONDENTS :**  
-----

**1. THE SUB COLLECTOR  
OTTAPALAM, PALAKKAD DISTRICT PIN-679101.**

**2. THE DEPUTY TAHSILDAR,  
TALUK OFFICE, OTTAPALAM, PALAKKAD DISTRICT-679101.**

**R1 & R2 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. ANITHA RAVINDRAN**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD  
ON 26-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED  
THE FOLLOWING:**

**bp**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) EXHIBITS

- EXT.P1: TRUE COPY OF THE SEIZURE MAHAZAR PREPARED BY THE SECOND RESPONDENT DATED 18-2-2013.
- EXT.P2: TRUE COPY OF THE INTERIM ORDER DATED 3-4-2009 IN WPC NO. 11206 /2009 OF THIS HON'BLE COURT.
- EXT.P3: TRUE COPY OF THE INTERIM ORDER DATED 7-12-11 IN WPC NO. 31828/11 OF THIS HON'BLE COURT.
- EXT.P4: TRUE COPY OF THE INTERIM ORDER DATED 3-6-11 IN WPC NO. 15058/11 OF THIS HON'BLE COURT.
- EXT.P5: TRUE COPY OF THE INTERIM ORDER DATED 11-3-11 IN WPC NO. 7689/11 OF THIS HON'BLE COURT.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS : NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

bp

**P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON J.**

~~~~~

W.P.(C) No. 6617 of 2013

~~~~~

Dated, this the 26<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2015

**JUDGMENT**

The vehicle bearing No. **KL 07 AG 3987** was seized by the **2<sup>nd</sup> respondent/Deputy Tahasildar** alleging offence under the Mines and Mineral (Development and Regulation) Act and the Kerala Minor Mineral Concession Rules. The main ground of challenge is that, the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent is not having the jurisdiction or authority to effect seizure.

2. Heard both the sides.

3. The authority of the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent to effect seizure has already been considered by this Court and the power and competence has been upheld as per the decision reported in **Aloshias C. Antony Vs. Government of Kerala** [2014(1) KLT 536]. The said decision was rendered, also taking note of the nature of offence which is a 'cognizable' one (notwithstanding anything contained in the Cr.P.C) as stipulated in Section 21(6) of MMDR Act, 1957 and also placing reliance on the judgment rendered by a Division Bench of this Court in **Construction Materials Movers Association V. State of Kerala**

[2008 (4) KLT 909]. In the said circumstance, there is no tenable ground to call for interference.

4. When the matter came up for consideration on **08.03.2013**, the vehicle was caused to be released, **on satisfaction of a sum Rs.25,000/-** and **on execution of a simple bond**. In the said circumstance, the further course of action required is to surrender the vehicle before the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent, so as to enable the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent to produce it before the concerned Magistrate having jurisdiction over the area and to proceed with steps for prosecution, unless the offence is sought to be compounded.

5. The petitioner expresses desire to compound the offence by virtue of the enabling provisions under the relevant provisions of law. This Court finds it fit and proper to permit the petitioner to have the offence compounded on satisfying the compounding fee of Rs.25,000/-. The amount ordered to be paid as per the interim order dated 08.03.2013 shall be treated as compounding fee and offence shall be treated as compounded. Once the offence is compounded, no prosecution proceedings will lie in view of the law declared by this Court in **Digil Vs. Sub Inspector of Police** [2013 (1) KLT 600]. It shall be reported to the concerned Magistrate, if

*W.P.(C) No. 6617 of 2015*

: 3 :

the crime has already been reported. If there is any failure in satisfying the compounding fee, the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent shall pursue further steps in connection with the prosecution before the concerned Magistrate having jurisdiction over the area.

The writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

**P. R. RAMACHANDRA MENON,  
(JUDGE)**

kmd