IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE AG.CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

MONDAY, THE 9TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/20TH MAGHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 3963 of 2015 (U)

PETITIONER(S):

C.M.RAHMATHULLA AGED 64 YEARS
S/O.T.UMMER KUTTY, ZIYAD MANZIL, PUZHAVATHU
CHANGANASSERY, KOTTAYAM DISTRICT, PIN-686 101.

BY ADVS.SRI.R.O.MUHAMED SHEMEEM
SMT.NASEEHA BEEGUM P.S.
SRI.T.PABDUL HAMEED
SMT.RUKSANA K.ZUBIR

RESPONDENT(S):
----

1. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
THIRUVALLA, PATHANAMTHITA DISTRICT. 689101

2. CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
POLICE STATION, THIRUVALLA, PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT. 689 101

3. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
POLICE STATION, THIRUVALLA, PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT. 689 101

4. K.K.AJAYAKUMAR, AGED 58 YEARS
S/O.KESAVAN, KRISHNA NIVAS HOUSE, KAVIYOOR P.O.
PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT. 689 582.

R1 TO R3 BY STATE ATTORNEY SRI.P. VIJAYARAGHAVAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 09-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 3963 of 2015 (U)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT P1	**:**	**TRUE COPY OF THE UNION BANK THIRUVALLA BRANCH ACCOUNT STATEMENT FROM 31.12.2014 TO 09.01.2015 OF M/S.CMR AND SONS, THIRUVALLA.**
EXHIBIT P2	**:**	**TRUE COPY OF THE UNION BANK THIRUVALLA BRANCH ACCOUNT STATEMENT FROM 09.01.2015 TO 13.01.2015 OF M/S.CMR STEEL AGENCY, THIRUVALLA.**
EXHIBIT P3	**:**	**TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT DATED 19.9.2014 FILED BY PETITIONER BEFORE THE 3RD RESPONDENT WITH ENGLISH TRANSLATION**
EXHIBIT P4	**:**	**TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT DATED 17.12.2014 FILED BY PETITIONER BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT WITH ENGLISH TRANSLATION**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

JJJ

ASHOK BHUSHAN, Ag. C.J.
&
A.M. SHAFFIQUE, J

W.P.(C) No. 3963 of 2015

Dated this the 9th day of February, 2015

J U D G M E N T

A.M. Shaffique, J.

The petitioner has approached this Court seeking Police Protection.

2. He says that he is a business man and there is an attempt on the part of the 4th respondent, who is a former employee, to steal the money as he is aware of the financial transactions and daily transfer of cash deposit to the Bank. The petitioner has given several complaints to the Police. Since no action has been taken, this Writ Petition is filed.

3. A perusal of the complaint given by the petitioner does not disclose a case for grant of Police Protection. His compliant is that an attempt is being made by the 4th respondent to snatch away the money belonging to the petitioner.

4. Learned State Attorney submits that Exts.P3 and P4 do not indicate any case for Police Protection. If there is attempt to commit any offence, the Police has to take necessary action in the matter.

Having regard to the facts in the complaint, we do not think that a direction, as sought for, is required to be passed in this case. It is observed that the Police shall look into Exts.P3 and P4 and take appropriate action.

Writ Petition is dismissed.

Sd/-
ASHOK BHUSHAN,
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE.

Sd/-
A.M. SHAFFIQUE,
JUDGE.

jjj