

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU

TUESDAY, THE 3RD DAY OF MARCH 2015/12TH PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No. 3909 of 2015 (K)

PETITIONER: -

RANI SHIVADASAN, AGED 39 YEARS,
W/O. SHIVADASAN, PUTHUSERYPARAMBIL HOUSE,
VANNIRAPPEL, KUZHIYARA.P.O,
CHOTTANIKKARA(VIA), KUZHIYARA WARD,
THIRUVANIYOOR GRAMA PANCHAYATH,
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT, PIN-682312.

BY ADVS. SRI. MATHEW KURIAKOSE
SRI. K. C. BIJU

RESPONDENTS: -

1. THIRUVANIYOOR GRAMA PANCHAYATH,
THIRUVANIYOOR.P.O,
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT, PIN-682308,
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY.
2. THE SECRETARY,
THIRUVANIYOOR GRAMA PANCHAYATH,
THIRUVANIYOOR.P.O.,
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT, PIN-682308.

R1 & 2 BY ADV. SRI. A. T. ANILKUMAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
03-03-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 3909 of 2015 (K)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS : -

EXT.P1 : TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 19.01.2015
SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER AND OTHERS TO THE IST
RESPONDENT PANCHAYATH WITH ITS TRUE ENGLISH
TRANSLATION.

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS : - NIL.

// TRUE COPY //

P.A. TO JUDGE

DMR/-

DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU, J.

W.P.(c) No. 3909 of 2015

Dated this the 03rd day of March, 2015

JUDGMENT

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the respondents, apart from perusing the record. Since the issue lies in a narrow compass, this Court proposes to dispose of the writ petition at the admission stage itself.

2. Briefly stated, the petitioner, a resident of the first respondent Grama Panchayat, is said to have been facing scarcity of drinking water since his residence is situated on a hilly area. Though the Grama Panchayat has taken up the construction of the public water tank, there is what is said to be unusual delay in its completion. Under those circumstances, the petitioner along with other similarly situated persons submitted Exhibit P1 representation. Complaining of delay on the part of the second respondent in acting on the petitioner's request as contained in Exhibit P1, the petitioner filed the present writ petition.

3. The learned counsel for the respondent Grama Panchayat, on instructions, has submitted that the Grama Panchayat has been taking all steps to complete the project expeditiously. At any rate, the learned counsel has submitted that the petitioner's Exhibit P1 representation will be suitably replied to.

4. In the facts and circumstances, having regard to the respective submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the respondent Grama Panchayat, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter, this Court disposes of the present writ petition, as has been desired by the petitioner, with a direction to the second respondent to consider Exhibit P1 representation of the petitioner in accordance with law, and pass appropriate orders thereon as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

With the above observation, this writ petition is disposed of.

DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU
JUDGE

DMR/-