

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

FRIDAY, THE 13TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/24TH MAGHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 3908 of 2015 (K)

PETITIONER(S):

**SYNTHITE PROPERTIES AND INVESTMENTS LIMITED,
CC.39/1799, AJAY VIHAR, MG.ROAD, ERNAKULAM,
REPRESENTED BY ITS AUTHORISED SIGNATORY,
ABHILASH .K.V.**

**BY ADVS.SMT.K.P.SANTHI,
SRI.RILGIN V.GEORGE,
SMT.E.U.DHANYA.**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
REVENUE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM- 695 001.**
- 2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
ERNAKULAM-682 030.**
- 3. THE CONVENER & AGRICULTURAL OFFICER,
LOCAL LEVEL MONITORING COMMITTEE,
KRISHI BHAVAN, KUNNATHUNAD-683 101.**

BY SR. GOVT. PLEADER SRI.MUHAMMED SHAFI. M.

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 13-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

rs.

WP(C).No. 3908 of 2015 (K)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:-

- P1: TRUE COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS DATED 23.02.2006 OF THE LAND REVENUE COMMISSIONER.**
- P2: TRUE COPY OF THE STATUS REPORT DATED 25.07.2012 OF THE PRINCIPAL AGRICULTURAL OFFICER, ERNAKULAM.**
- P3: TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION DATED 05.02.2014 SUBMITTED TO THE 2ND RESPONDENT.**
- P4: TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION DATED 24.01.2014 SUBMITTED TO THE 3RD RESPONDENT.**

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS:-

NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.A TO JUDGE

rs.

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, J.

W.P(C). No. 3908 of 2015

Dated this the 13th day of February, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner has approached this Court with the following prayers:

“(i) issue a writ of mandamus or such other writ, direction or order directing the 3rd respondent to pass appropriate orders on Exhibit P4 on merits, affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, reclassifying the land as converted land, within a time frame to be fixed by this Honourable Court;

(ii) issue such other writ, direction or order as is deemed just and necessary in the facts, features and circumstances of the case.”

2. Heard the learned Government Pleader as well.

3. Considering the limited extent of relief sought for, this Court does not find it necessary to adjudicate the issue on merits.

4. After hearing both the sides, the writ petition is disposed of, directing the 3rd respondent to consider Ext.P4 preferred by the petitioner, after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

The petitioner shall produce a copy of this judgment along with a copy of this writ petition before the concerned respondent for further steps.

Sd/-
P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, JUDGE.

Pn