

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.UBAID

TUESDAY, THE 24TH DAY OF MARCH 2015/3RD CHAITHRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 3872 of 2015 (H)

PETITIONER:

**CHACKO SAM @ SUNNY, AGED 59 YEARS
S/O.LATE SAMUEL, MOOLAMKULAM HOUSE
POOTHAKUZH P.O. PAMPADY, KOTTAYAM.**

BY ADV. SRI.JINU JOSEPH

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
DEPARTMENT OF HOME, SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM- 695601.**
- 2. THE DIRECTOR
VIGILANCE AND ANTI CORRUPTION BUREAU
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM- 695001.**
- 3. DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
VIGILANCE AND ANTI CORRUPTION BUREAU, E/R, KOTTAYAM.
KOTTAYAM - 694 101**

**R1 BY SRI. P. VIJAYARAGHAVAN, STATE ATTORNEY
GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. S. HYMA**

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 24-03-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 3872 of 2015 (H)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS

EXT.P-1: TRUE COPY OF THE COMPLAINT IN CMP 514/2013

EXT.P-2: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 27.4.2013 IN CMP 514/2013

EXT.P-3: TRUE COPY OF THE REPORT DATED 27.4.2013 IN CMP NO.514/2013

EXT.P-4: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 29.4.2013 IN CMP 514/2013

EXT.P-5: TRUE COPY OF THE FIR NO.1/2013 DATED 13.5.2013 OF VACB, KOTTAYAM.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS : NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

smv

P. UBAID. J.
=====

W.P.(C). No. 3872 of 2015
=====

Dated this the 24th day of March, 2015

JUDGMENT

The writ petitioner herein seeks a writ of mandamus directing the 3rd respondent to complete the investigation in the Ext.P5 crime registered under the Preventions of Corruption Act and submit a final report in the crime expeditiously. Now there is a report by the Deputy Superintendent of Police, VACB, Eastern Range, Kottayam that effective investigation is going on. The police will have to seize the material documents as part of the investigation. The Investigating Officer requires three months more to complete the investigation and to submit the final report. It is submitted that the VACB has already obtained the necessary sanction for prosecution. In such a situation, the writ petitioner will not have any grievance. The Investigation Officer is confident that the final report could be submitted within three months.

The writ petition is closed with a direction to the Investigating Officer to submit the final report in court without any delay, and if possible, within three months as reported by him.

Sd/-
P. UBAID, JUDGE

//true copy//

P.A. To Judge

Smv