

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

FRIDAY, THE 6TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/17TH MAGHA, 1936

WP(C) .No. 3769 of 2015 (U)  
-----

PETITIONER:  
-----

SANTHI V. AGED 40 YEARS  
W/O.RAJU, K V BHAVAN, SANTHI NAGAR  
ELAPPARA, WORKING AS LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOL ASSISTANT  
LUTHERAN L P SCHOO, DYMOCK, PEERUMEDU  
IDUKKI

BY ADVS.SRI.T.A.UNNIKRISHNAN  
SRI.K.S.PRAVEEN

RESPONDENTS:  
-----

1. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-PIN-695001

2. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION  
IDUKKI, THODUPUZHA , PIN-685584

3. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER  
KATTAPPANA, IDUKKI DIST, PIN-685508

4. THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER  
PERUMEDU, IDUKKI DIST, PIN-685532

5. THE MANAGER  
LUTHERN LOWER PRIMARY SCHOOL, DYMOCK, VANDIPERIYAR P O  
IDUKKI DIST, PIN-685533

R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER: SRI M A FAYAZ SR.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON  
06-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS:

-----

EXT P1:-TRUE COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER DTD 1/10/2012 ISSUED BY THE 5TH RESPONDENT

EXT P2:-TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DTD 18/12/2012 ISSUED BY THE 4TH REPONDENT

EXT P3:-TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DTD 3/6/2013 ISSUED BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT

EXT P4:-TRUE COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER DTD 15/6/2013 ISSUED BY THE 5TH RESPONDENT

EXT P5:-TRUE COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER DTD 15/6/2013 ISSUED BY THE 5TH RESPONDENT

EXT P6:-TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DTD 12/7/2013 ISSUED BY THE 4TH RESPONDENT

EXT P7:-TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DTD 17/10/2014 ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT

EXT P8:-TRUE COPY OF THE APPEAL DTD 10/7/2014 SUBMITTED BY THE 5TH RESPONDENT TO THE 1ST RESPONDENT

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS:NIL

True Copy/

P A to Judge

**A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J.**  
=====

**W.P(C).No.3769 of 2015**  
=====

**Dated this the 06<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2015**

**JUDGMENT**

Petitioner was appointed as Lower Primary School Assistant in a resignation vacancy of the 5<sup>th</sup> respondent with effect from 01.10.2012. The appointment was rejected by the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent. Thereafter, Manager approached the appellate authority. Appellate authority remanded the matter to the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent for his decision. The 4<sup>th</sup> respondent reiterated the earlier decision. Thereafter, again an appeal has been preferred by the Manager before the first respondent. Ext.P8 is the appeal. But no action has been taken by the first respondent on Ext.P8 appeal. Petitioner prayed for an early disposal of Ext.P8.

2. Considering the facts and circumstances, there shall be a direction to the first respondent to dispose of Ext.P8 appeal after hearing petitioner and the 5<sup>th</sup> respondent within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

Writ Petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

**A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, JUDGE.**

Sbna/06/02/15