

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

WEDNESDAY, THE 4TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/15TH MAGHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 3536 of 2015 (N)

PETITIONER:

**RAM MOHAN T V, AGED 60 YEARS
S/O.VIJAYARAGHAVAN, THANDASSERI HOUSE, ELITE GARDEN
PUZHAKKAL VILLAGE, THRISSUR DISTRICT., PIN - 680 553**

BY ADV. SRI.M.K.DILEEP KUMAR

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY,
ELECTRICAL SECTION OF THRISSUR CORPORATION
CORPORATION BUILDING, THRISSUR, THRISSUR DISTRICT
PIN - 680001**
- 2. STANES MOTORS(SOUTH INDIA) LTD
REPRESENTED BY ITS ZONAL MANAGER, 1596, TRICHY ROAD
COIMBATORE, TAMIL NADU STATE, PIN - 641018**

**R1 BY ADVS. SRI.K.P.VIJAYAN, SC,THRISSUR CORPORATION
SRI.V.N.HARIDAS**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
04-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

DCS

WP(C).No. 3536 of 2015 (N)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- P1- TRUE COPY OF THE INTERIM ORDER PASSED IN C.M.P NO. 5600/2000 IN OP.NO.3400/2000 DATED 3.2.2000 OF HIGH COURT OF KERALA**
- P2- TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN O.P.NO.3400/2000 DATED 5.2.2004 OF HIGH COURT OF KERALA**
- P3- TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 27.7.2007 SUBMITTED BY THE SECOND RESPONDENT BEFORE THE MAYOR OF THE THRISSUR CORPORATION**
- P4- TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE DATED 1.7.2014 ISSUED BY THE FIRST RESPONDENT TO THE PETITIONER**
- P4(A)- TRUE ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF THE EXHIBIT P4**
- P5- TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN 21249 OF 2012 DATED 15.1.2013 OF HIGH COURT OF KERALA**
- P6- TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE DATED 29.10.2014 ISSUED BY THE FIRST RESPONDENT TO THE PETITIONER**
- P6(A)- TRUE ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF THE EXHIBIT P6**
- P7- TRUE COPY OF THE RECEIPT DATED 7.1.2015 ISSUED BY THE FIRST RESPONDENT TO THE PETITIONER FOR THE PAYMENT OF RS. 21,840/-**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:- NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE

DCS

V. CHITAMBARESH, J

W.P.(C). NO. 3536 OF 2015

Dated this the 04th day of February, 2015

JUDGMENT

Ext. P5 judgment directed the licensee (the Corporation of Thrissur) to consider the representation put in by the second respondent after notice to the petitioner as well. It was inter alia stated in Ext. P5 judgment as follows:-

“Needless to say that the orders so passed can be questioned before the Consumer Grievances Redressal Forum constituted by the Corporation of Thrissur.”

2. Exts. P6 series notices issued to the petitioner after completing the exercise as above are impugned in this writ petition. There is no reason as to why the petitioner shall not question the same before the Consumer Grievances Redressal Forum under Section 130(8) of the Kerala Electricity Supply Code, 2015. I decline jurisdiction under such circumstances especially when many disputed questions of facts arise for adjudication.

The writ petition is disposed of.

**V. CHITAMBARESH
JUDGE**

DCS