

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

WEDNESDAY, THE 4TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/15TH MAGHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 3515 of 2015 (L)

PETITIONER :

**DILEEP,
S/O.PARAMESWARAN,AGED 36 YEARS,
KANNAMBATHVALAPPIL HOUSE,
VATTAMKULAM P.O, VATTAMKULAM VILLAGE, PONNANI TALUK,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT. (OWNER OF LORRIES
BEARING REGISTRATION NO.KL-41-7266,
KL-11-AA-9818, KL-54-B-7096,
KL-55-K-3432 AND A JCB BEARING REGISTRATION
NO.KL-54-D-7857).**

BY ADV. SRI.P.M.ZIRAJ

RESPONDENT :

**THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KUTTIPURAM POLICE STATION,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT, PIN-676 505.**

BY SR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.JOSEPH GEORGE

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 04-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

sts

WP(C).No. 3515 of 2015 (L)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

**EXT P1 : TRUE COPY OF THE MAHASAR DATED 29-01-2015 PREPARED BY THE
 RESPONDENT.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS: NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A.TO.JUDGE

sts

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, J.

.....
W.P.(C)No.3515 OF 2015
.....

Dated this the 4th February, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is the owner of the vehicles bearing Nos.**KL.41/7266, KL.11-AA-9818, KL.54.B/7096, KL.55 K..3432 and KL.54 D-7857**. The above vehicles have been seized by the respondent/Sub Inspector alleging violation of Rule 48K of the Kerala Minor Mineral Concession Rules, 1967. The learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is ready to compound the offence.

2. The issue involved in this case is, whether the petitioner, who has been proceeded against in respect of the offences under the Mines and Mineral (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957 and the Kerala Minor Mineral Concession Rules, 1967 is entitled to have the offence compounded in view of the desire expressed from his side in this regard.

3. Heard the learned Government Pleader as well.

4. Section 23A of the 'Act and Rule 60A of the Rules enable the parties to have the offence compounded. The Rules specifically stipulate that any offence under the Rules can be compounded subject to the satisfaction of the maximum fine prescribed under the Rules, which is stated as Rs.5,000/-. But in

respect of the transportation of sand/earth without any valid pass/sanction, it is stated as an offence under the 'Act' itself by virtue of the incorporation of Section 4(1A), for which separate penalty is provided under the 'Act' itself. The maximum fine in respect of such offence prescribed under the 'Act' is stated as **Rs.25,000/-**. It was in the said circumstance, that this Court has been passing various orders in similar matters enabling the concerned parties to have the interim custody of the vehicle released on satisfaction of a sum of **Rs.25,000/-** and also by directing the concerned respondent to consider the application for compounding, if any.

5. A question arose before this Court as to whether the prosecution proceedings could be pursued further, once the offence is compounded in accordance with the relevant provisions. This issue has already been considered and decided by this Court in **2013 (1) KLT 600 (Digil v. Sub Inspector of Police)**, holding that, once the offence is compounded, there cannot be any further prosecution proceedings.

6. In view of the law declared as mentioned herein before, there will be a direction to the respondent/Sub Inspector to

accept the application filed by the petitioner to compound the offence; which shall be considered and appropriate orders shall be passed forthwith, subject to satisfaction of a sum of **Rs.25000/- for each vehicle** as the compounding fee. Once the offence is compounded, no prosecution proceedings shall lie against the petitioner. The petitioner shall produce a copy of the judgment along with a copy of the writ petition before the concerned respondent for further steps.

The writ petition is disposed of.

P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON
JUDGE

Ik