

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

WEDNESDAY, THE 18TH DAY OF MARCH 2015/27TH PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No. 2995 of 2015 (Y)

PETITIONER:

**G.DINESH,
AGED 40 YEARS
S/O. GOVINDAN, KURUTHIKODU HOUSE, THAROOR P.O.,
ALATHUR, PALAKKAD EMPANELLED CONDUCTOR
KSRTC DEPOT, PALAKKAD UNDER THE DISTRICT TRANSPORT
OFFICER, KSRTC, PALAKKAD**

BY ADV. SRL.JOHN JOSEPH(ROY)

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. THE KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION
TRANSPORT BHAVAN
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695 039
REPRESENTED BY THE CHAIRMAN AND MANAGING DIRECTOR
695 039**
- 2. THE CHAIRMAN AND MANAGING DIRECTOR,
THE KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION,
TRANSPORT BHAVAN, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM
PIN - 695 039**
- 3. THE DISTRICT TRANSPORT OFFICER,
KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION
PALAKKAD - 678 001**

BY SRL.M.GOPIKRISHNAN NAMBIAR, SC, KSRTC

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
18-03-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

DCS

WP(C).No. 2995 of 2015 (Y)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- P1 : COPY OF CASH RECEIPT ISSUED BY THE THIRD RESPONDENT TO THE PETITIONER UNDER NO. 31152 DATED 18.10.1993**
- P2 : COPY OF THE GOVERNMENT ORDER DATED 22.12.2011 TO REGULARISE THE EMPANELLED EMPLOYEES OF THE CORPORATION**
- P3 : COPY OF CASH RECEIPT ISSUED BY THE THIRD RESPONDENT TO THE PETITIONER UNDER NO. 40493 DATED 29.02.2012**
- P4 : COPY OF THE DUTY STATEMENT ISSUED FROM THE THIRD RESPONDENT DATED 26.04.2012 TO THE PETITIONER**
- P5 : COPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS NO. PL 16/014909/12 DATED 28.05.2012 OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT MANAGING DIRECTOR**
- P6: COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DATED 11.11.2013 SUBMITTED BEFORE THE CHIEF MINISTER OF KERALA**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:- NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A. TO JUDGE

DCS

V. CHITAMBARESH, J

W.P.(C). NO.2995 OF 2015

Dated this the 18th day of March, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner has been re-admitted to duty as evident by Ext. P7 communication. Therefore the services of the petitioner is liable to be regularised in the wake of Ext. P2 Government Order and Ext. P4 duty statement. Ext. P7 communication also lends support to the contentions of the petitioner.

2. The respondents are directed to regularise the services of the petitioner within a period of two months. Ext. P5 order negating the claim of the petitioner is therefore quashed.

The writ petition is disposed of.

**V. CHITAMBARESH
JUDGE**

DCS