

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

FRIDAY, THE 30TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/10TH MAGHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 2966 of 2015 (U)

PETITIONERS :

1. SAIDALAVI P,
S/O.MAMMED KUTTY, AGED 60 YEARS
KUNNAMPALLY HOUSE, KUZHIMANNA P.O.
MALAPPURAM - 673 641.
2. HAMZA T.,
S/O.UNNEENKUTTY HAJI, AGED 51 YEARS
THOTTATHIL HOUSE, ORAVAMPURAM
PANDIKKAD P.O. - 676 521,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.M.VIJAYAKUMAR

RESPONDENTS :

1. STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY ITS CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF KERALA
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.
2. DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
MALAPPURAM - 676 505.
3. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KONDOTTY, MALAPPURAM - 673 638.
4. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE
PANDIKKAD, MALAPPURAM - 676 521.

R1 TO R4 BY SR. GOVT. PLEADER SRII. K.C. VINCENT

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 30-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

Mn

WP(C).No. 2966 of 2015 (U)

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS :

- EXT.P1: PHOTOSTAT COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN WPC 19484/2008 DATED
17/12/2008.**
- EXT.P2: PHOTOSTAT COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN WPC 20424/2009 DATED
25/05/2010.**
- EXT.P3: PHOTOSTAT COPY OF THE JUDGMENT IN WPC.NO. 16461/2014 DATED
20/08/2014.**
- EXT.P4: PHOTOSTAT COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE OF THE 1ST PETITIONER.**
- EXT.P5: PHOTOSTAT COPY OF THE MARK LIST OF THE 1ST PETITIONER.**
- EXT.P6: PHOTOSTAT COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE OF THE 2ND PETITIONER.**
- EXT.P7: PHOTOSTAT COPY OF THE MARK LIST OF THE 2ND PETITIONER.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

Mn

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, J.

=====

W.P.(C). No. 2966 of 2015

Dated this the 30th day of January, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioners have approached this Court with the following prayers:

" i) Issue a writ of mandamus or other appropriate writ order or direction directing the respondents to allow the petitioners to practise Electropathy Electrohomeopathy.

ii) Issue a writ of prohibition restraining the respondents from interfering with Electropathy/Electrohomeopathy.

Iii) To grant such other relief which this Honourable Court may deem fit under these circumstances."

2. Heard the Government Pleader also.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner places reliance on Ext.P3 judgment and seeks to extend similar benefit to the petitioners as well.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of, directing the 2nd, 3rd and 4th respondents not to interfere with the practice of petitioners, if the practice of Electropathy/Electro-Homeopathy by the petitioners is not prohibited under any

W.P.(C). No. 2966 of 2015 2

statutory provision under the law. It is made clear that if petitioners violate any statutory provisions, respondents 2,3 and 4 are free to take action against the petitioners.

**P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON,
JUDGE.**

smm