

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALEXANDER THOMAS

MONDAY, THE 23RD DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/4TH PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No. 5509 of 2013 (K)

PETITIONER:

JEENA, AGED 29, W/O.AJAYARAJ, ARUN NIVAS
J.A.BHAVAN, PADANILATHU, CHIRAYANKEEZHU P.O.
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.LATHEESH SEBASTIAN

RESPONDENT:

THE LABOUR COMMISSIONER
LABOUR COMMISSIONER OFFICE, VIKAS BHAVAN
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM 695 001

Addl.R2.

ABHI.A.T., S/O.LATE AJAYARAJ, TESSY HOUSE, G.V.NO.1
MUTTADA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM
MINOR REPRESENTED BY MOTHER AND NATURAL GUARDIAN TESSY
TESSY HOUSE, G.V.NO.1, MUTTADA
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

ADDL.R2 IS IMPEADED AS PER ORDER DATED 23.07.2013 IN IA
9734/2013.

R1 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.P.M.JOSEPH

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 23-02-
2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

- EXT.P-1 TRUE COPY OF THE MARRIAGE CERTIFICATE OF THE PETITIONER
ISSUED UNDER THE SPECIAL MARRIAGE ACT.
- EXT.P-2 TRUE COPY OF THE DEATH CERTIFICATE OF THE PETITIONER'S
HUSBAND.
- EXT.P-3 TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGEMENT DATED 24.7.2007 OF FAMILY
COURT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM IN O.P(DA) NO.358/2006.
- EXT.P-4 TRUE COPY OF THE LEGAL HEIR SHIP CERTIFICATE OF
LATE HUSBAND OF THE PETITIONER AS PER PROCEEDINGS
DATED 19.11.2012
- EXT.P-5 TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER OF THE 1ST RESPONDENT.
- EXT.P-6 TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGEMENT DATED 4.11.2011 OF THE FAMILY
COURT, NEDUMANGAD IN O.P NO.814/2011
- EXT.P-7 TRUE COPY OF THE APPLICATION OF THE PETITIONER

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS:

- EXT.R1(a): COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT.
- EXT.R1(B): COPY OF THE RULES FRAMED BY THE GOVERNMENT FOR
APPOINTMENT OF PERSONS UNDER DIE-IN-HARNESS SCHEME.

// TRUE COPY //

P.A TO JUDGE.

ALEXANDER THOMAS, J.

W.P(C).No.5509 Of 2013

Dated this the 23rd day of February, 2015.

J U D G M E N T

Sri.Latheesh Sebastian, learned counsel for the petitioner, has filed a not pressed memo dated 20.2.2015, which reads as follows:

"The petitioner filed the above writ petition for disposal of Ext.P7 by the 1st respondent. Now the petitioner and the party respondent settled the dispute and hence no relief is claimed from the writ petition. Hence the writ petition may be closed as not pressed."

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed as not pressed in view of the above said submission.

**sd/-
ALEXANDER THOMAS,
Judge.**

bkn/-