

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

FRIDAY, THE 29TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/10TH MAGHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 2832 of 2015 (D)

PETITIONER(S):

**K.SASIDHARAN PILLAI
S/O.KARUNAKARAN PILLAI, SASI BHAVAN, PUNUKKANNOOR
PERUMPUZHA P.O., KOLLAM**

BY ADV. SRI.SAJU J.VALLYARA

RESPONDENT(S):

**THE SECRETARY, REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY
KOLLAM PIN - 691 001.**

R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. R. RANJITH

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 30-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

WP(C).No. 2832 of 2015 (D)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

**EXT.P1- PHOTOCOPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE RESPONDENT VIDE
ORDER NO.C1/011034/2005/Q DATED 21.7.2006.**

**EXT.P2- PHOTOCOPY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE RESPONDENT VIDE
ORDER NO.G1/8486/2011/Q DATED 20.2.2014.**

**EXT.P3- PHOTOCOPY OF THE REQUEST DATED 7.1.2015 SUBMITTED BY
THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE RESPONDENT.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS: NIL

// TRUE COPY //

PA TO JUDGE.

SB

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

=====

W.P.(C) No.2832 of 2015 - D

=====

Dated this the 29th day of January, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner seeks only a change in the departure time, which is said to be at 05.10, which is identical to that in Ext.P1. The petitioner contends that it clashes with other services. However, the petitioner was entitled to raise such contention before the authority, when revision was sought and if the petitioner is aggrieved by such revision, the petitioner could have agitated the timings before the Tribunal. This Court does not find any reason to direct revision of timings which was settled by Ext.P2 on 20.02.2014, especially since, the petitioner has been operating his service on the said timings for about an year.

The writ petition would stand dismissed.

Sd/-

**K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE**

SB

// true copy //

P.A to Judge.