

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

TUESDAY, THE 10TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/21ST MAGHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 2701 of 2015 (K)

PETITIONER(S):

**DR.K. SADASIVAN, AGED 69 YEARS,
READER IN HISTORY (RETIRED FROM
DEVASWOM BOARD COLLEGE,
SASTHAMKOTTA, RESIDING AT: -
ROSE BHAVAN, MANAKARA,
SASTHAMKOTTA, KOLLAM DISTRICT.**

BY ADV. SRI.M.V.THAMBAN.

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER EDUCATION,
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695 001.**
- 2. THE DIRECTOR OF COLLEGIATE EDUCATION,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, PIN - 695 001.**
- 3. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF COLLEGIATE EDUCATION,
KOLLAM, PIN - 691 001.**
- 4. THE PRINCIPAL,
DEVASWOM BOARD COLLEGE,
SASTHAMKOTTA, KOLLAM DISTRICT- 690 521.**

R1 TO R3 BY SR. GOVT. PLEADER SRI.M.A. FAYAZ.

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 10-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

rs.

WP(C).No. 2701 of 2015 (K)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:-

EXT.P-1: TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 02.09.2014 VIDE
WP(C).NO.23234/2014.

EXT.P-2: TRUE COPY OF THE (RT) NO.2819/2014/H.EDN DATED 27.11.2014.

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS:-

NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.A TO JUDGE

rs.

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J.

W.P.(C)No.2701 of 2015

Dated this the 10th day of February, 2015

JUDGMENT

The petitioner retired while working as a Reader in History from the Devaswom Board College at Sasthamcotta with effect from 31.3.2001. The petitioner's grievance is that he was not paid the two advance increments on the basis of P2 Government Order. It is the case of the petitioner is that the UGC Pay Revision with effect from 1.1.1996 provided for two advance increments as incentive to those teachers having secured Ph.D degree from 1.1.1996. However, it is submitted that the petitioner was not given two advance increments even though the similarly situated teachers were given the benefit.

2. It is submitted by the learned Government Pleader on instructions that delay in this matter is only on account of the reason that the fourth respondent failed to forward the

proposal of the petitioner. It is submitted that as and when the proposal is received from the fourth respondent immediate action will be taken to grant advance increment to the petitioner.

In view of the facts and circumstances, there shall be a direction to the fourth respondent to forward the proposal to the third respondent for disbursal of the two advance increments to the petitioner. This shall be done within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. Thereafter, based on the proposal, necessary action shall be taken by the third respondent within a further period of four weeks.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

Sd/-

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, JUDGE

ln