

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

THURSDAY, THE 13TH DAY OF AUGUST 2015/22ND SRAVANA, 1937

WP(C).No. 2664 of 2015 (G)

**AGAINST THE ORDER/JUDGMENT IN ID 30/2013 of LABOUR COURT, KOZHIKODE
DATED 11-04-2014**

PETITIONER(S):

**THE SECRETARY, KOZHIKODE DISTRICT COMMERCIAL EMPLOYEES UNION
KOZHIKODE DISTRICT COMMERCIAL EMPLOYEES UNION
C.I.T.U., KOZHIKODE MEKHALA COMMITTEE, PALAYAM ROAD
KOZHIKODE-1.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.P.RAVINDRA NATH
SRI.N.KRISHNA PRASAD
SRI.ARUN AJAY SHANKAR
SRI.N.ANAND
SRI.JIKKU SEBAN GEORGE**

RESPONDENT(S):

**1. LABOUR COURT, KOZHIKODE
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY-673 001.**

**2. MUHAMMED KOYA
PROPRIETOR, MOOPANS HOTEL, CHERUVANNUR P.O.
FEROKE-673 631.**

**R2 BY ADV. SRI.P.M.RAFIQ
BY SPL.GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.GIRIJA GOPAL**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 13-08-2015,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

WP(C).No. 2664 of 2015 (G)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

EXT.P1. TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 19/3/2013 IN G.O.(RT)NO.487/2013/LBR.
EXT.P2. TRUE COPY OF THE CLAIM STATEMENT FILED BEFORE THE LABOUR COURT, KOZHIKODE-I.D.NO.30/2013.
EXT.P3. TRUE COPY OF THE OBJECTION STATEMENT DATED 22/7/2013 FILED BEFORE LABOUR COURT, KOZHIZKODE IN ID. NO.30/2013.
EXT.P4. TRUE COPY OF THE REJOINDER FILED BEFORE LABOUR COURT, KOZHIKODE IN ID 30/2013.
EXT.P5. TRUE COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE OF THE AWARD DATED 11/3/2014 PASSED BY THE LABOUR COURT, KOZHIZKODE IN ID NO.30/2013.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS

Ext.R2(a) : TRUE COPY OF THE AGREEMENT ENTERED INTO BETWEEN THE PETITIONER AND V.MUHAMMED, DATED 3.7.2015 RESOLVING THE DISPUTE BETWEEN THEM.

//True Copy//

PS to Judge

Rp

A.M. SHAFFIQUE, J.

=====
W.P. (C) No. 2664 of 2015
=====

Dated this, the 13th day of August, 2015

J U D G M E N T

Writ petition is filed challenging Ext.P5 award by which the claim raised by the petitioner union on behalf of the workmen has been rejected.

2. During the pendency of the above writ petition, parties have arrived at a settlement and an agreement has been executed between them, which is produced as Exhibit R2(a). In the light of Exhibit R2(a) agreement, I do not think that any further direction is required to be issued in the writ petition.

Accordingly, taking on record the aforesaid agreement, this writ petition is closed. The terms of the agreement will form part of this judgment.

Sd/-

A.M. SHAFFIQUE, JUDGE

Rp

//True Copy//

PS to Judge