

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

THURSDAY, THE 29TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/9TH MAGHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 2814 of 2014 (B)

PETITIONER:

**P.A.SUBRAMONIAN (PRO-45307), AGED 57 YEARS
S/O.AYYAPPAN, PARASSERIYIL HOUSE, EROOR P.O.
PIN: 682 306 (INSPECTOR,
KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION
RETIRED ON 31/3/2011 FROM ERNAKULAM)**

BY ADV. SRI.K.P.JUSTINE (KARIPAT)

RESPONDENTS:

**1. THE KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION,
REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR
TRANSPORT BHAVAN, FORT P.O., THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 23.**

**2. THE ASSISTANT TRANSPORT OFFICER,
KERALA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION,
ERNAKULAM - 682 018**

**BY SRI. M. GOPIKRISHNAN NAMBIAR, SC, KSRTC
SRI.BABU JOSEPH KURUVATHAZHA, SC, KSRTC**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
29-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

DCS

WP(C).No. 2814 of 2014 (B)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- EXT.P1: TRUE COPY OF THE PENSION PASS ISSUED BY THE RESPONDENT CORPORATION TO THE PETITIONER. (P.P.O.45307)**
- EXT.P2: TRUE COPY OF THE CIRCULAR MEMORANDUM NO.PL-7/000175 DATED 6/7/2010 ISSUED BY THE RESPONDENT CORPORATION.**
- EXT.P3: TRUE COPY OF THE PAGE NO.2 & 3 OF PENSION BOOK OF THE 1ST PETITIONER ISSUED BY THE RESPONDENT CORPORATION.**
- EXT.P4: TRUE COPY OF THE REPORT IN 2010/(4) KHC 330(DB) AYYAPPAN PILLAI VS. KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD & ANOTHER IN W.A.NO.1194/2010 DATED 7/10/2010 OF THIS HONOURABLE COURT.**
- EXT.P5: TRUE COPY OF THE REPORT IN KLT UNION OF INDIA & OTHERS VS. SUDHA THEJUS & OTHERS IN W.A.NO.1719/2000 OF THIS HONOURABLE COURT DATED 31/8/2000.**
- EXT.P6: TRUE COPY OF JUDGMENT DATED 1/7/2013 IN WPC NO.3849/2013 OF THIS HONOURABLE COURT.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:-NIL

/TRUE COPY

P.A.TO JUDGE

DCS

V. CHITAMBARESH, J

W.P.(C). NO. 2814 OF 2014

Dated this the 29th day of January, 2015

JUDGMENT

The issue is covered by Ext. P6 judgment in W.P.(C). No. 3663/2013. The respondents have also issued office memorandum dated 27.12.2014 in implementation of Ext. P6 judgment. There is no reason as to why the said benefit shall not be extended to the petitioner in reckoning the qualifying service for pension.

2. The respondents are directed to re-compute the benefits due to the petitioner in the light of Ext. P6 judgment and the office memorandum dated 27.12.2014 (PL7/000175/2010). The needful shall be done within a period of two months from the date of this judgment.

The writ petition is disposed of.

**V. CHITAMBARESH
JUDGE**

DCS