

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.CHITAMBARESH

THURSDAY, THE 26TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/7TH PHALGUNA, 1936

WP(C).No. 2384 of 2015 (W)

PETITIONER(S):

AJITHA SURESH, AGED 38 YEARS
W/O.SURESH, MALICHIRA, C.M.C.9
CHERTHALA.

BY ADV. SRI.B.PRAMOD

RESPONDENT(S)/RESPONDENTS:-

-
1. THE REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM - 695 001.
 2. THE CHERTHALA SERVICE CO-OPERATIVE BANK LTD.NO.1688,
CHERTHALA P.O., CHERTHALA
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, PIN - 688 524.
 3. THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS,
THE CHERTHALA SERVICE CO-OPERATIVE BANK LTD.NO.1688
CHERTHALA P.O., CHERTHALA
REPRESENTED BY ITS PRESIDENT, PIN - 688 524.
 4. P.S.SURESH KUMAR,
KULATHARAKKATTU HOUSE, CMC 9, CHERTHALA
ALAPPUZHA - 688 524.

R4 BY ADV. SRI.M.SASINDRAN
R2,R3 BY ADV. SRI.P.C.SASIDHARAN
R2,R3 BY ADV. SRI.ARAVINDA KUMAR BABU T.K.
R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.T.R.RAJESH.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
26-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 2384 of 2015 (W)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT P1. TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 21.06.2013 ISSUED BY THE STATE
GOVT.

EXHIBIT P2. TRUE COPY OF THE ARC NO.35/2014 BEFORE THE CO-OPERATIVE
ARBITRATION COURT.

EXHIBIT P3. TRUE COPY OF THE REPORT DATED 18.12.2014 IN MALAYALA
MANORAMA.

EXHIBIT P4. TRUE COPY OF THE REPORT DATED 19.12.2014 IN MALAYALA
MANORAMA.

EXHIBIT P5. A TRUE COPY OF THE REPORT APPEARED IN MATHRUBHOOMI
18.12.2014.

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.S. TO JUDGE.

V.CHITAMBARESH, J.

W.P (C) No.2384 of 2015

Dated this the 26th day of February, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The fourth respondent was dismissed from service by the second respondent Bank. The dismissal is challenged in A.R.C.No.35/2014 before the Co-operative Arbitration Court. The reinstatement of the fourth respondent can only be dependent on the verdict in the arbitration case. The apprehension of the petitioner that the fourth respondent would be reinstated pending arbitration case is misplaced. This is especially so since the order of dismissal has to be interfered with by the Co-operative Arbitration Court for such contingency.

The writ Petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
V.CHITAMBARESH,
Judge.

nj.