

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

THURSDAY, THE 22ND DAY OF JANUARY 2015/2ND MAGHA, 1936

WP(C) .No. 2319 of 2015 (L)

PETITIONER(S) :

P.T.REJITHA AGED 48 YEARS
W/O. P.CHANDRAN, FULL-TIME HINDI TEACHER, MRUP SCHOOL
MATTOOL, P.O.MATTOOL SOUTH, KANNUR DISTRICT.

BY ADVS.SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
SRI.M.SAJJAD

RESPONDENT(S) :

1. THE STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.

2. THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS
JAGATHY, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 014.

3. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION
KANNUR, P.O.KANNUR-670 001.

4. THE ASSISTANT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
MADAYI, P.O.PAYANGADI, KANNUR DISTRICT-670 303.

5. THE HEADMASTER
MRUP SCHOOL, MATTOOL, P.O.MATTOOL SOUTH
KANNUR DISTRICT-670 325.

6. THE MANAGER
MRUP SCHOOL, MATTOOL, P.O.MATTOOL SOUTH
KANNUR DISTRICT-670 325.

R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER: SRI M A FAYAZ SR.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
22-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS:

EXT P1 : COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER DTD.20.7.1989 AND APPROVAL THEREON.

EXT P2 : COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE 4TH RESPONDENT DTD.15.7.2014.

EXT P3 : COPY OF THE STAFF FIXATION ORDER 2014-2015 DTD.14.7.2014.

EXT P4 : COPY OF THE GO(P)NO.226/2003/G.EDN. DTD.14.6.2003 OF THE GOVERNMENT.

EXT P5 : COPY OF THE REVISION PETITION FILED BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT DTD.26.11.2014.

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS:NIL

True Copy/

P A to Judge

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J.
=====

W.P(C).No.2319 of 2015
=====

Dated this the 22nd day of January, 2015

JUDGMENT

Petitioner submits that while she was working as a full time Hindi Teacher in MRUP School, Mattool, by clubbing arrangement, she was deployed in MIM LP School with effect from 18.08.2014 for two days in a week. However, it is submitted that one Subaida, a junior teacher to the petitioner was allowed to continue in MRUP School, Mattool. Petitioner's grievance is against clubbing arrangement to another school. Petitioner submits that since junior is available, deploying a senior is unjust and unfair. Feeling aggrieved by the said deployment, petitioner preferred Ext.P5 revision before the second respondent.

2. In view of pendency of the above, there shall be a direction to the second respondent to dispose of Ext.P5 revision within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, aforesaid Subaida and Manager or any other affected party.

The Writ Petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, JUDGE.

Sbna/22/01/15