

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE AG.CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN  
&

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

WEDNESDAY, THE 28TH DAY OF JANUARY 2015/8TH MAGHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 2316 of 2015 (L)  
-----

PETITIONER(S) :-  
-----

RETNAKARAN, AGED 64 YEARS  
S/O.MADHAVAN, HARIMANDIRAM, KADUVUMKAL  
VALLIKUNNAM P.O. 690 501.

BY ADVS.SRI.D.SAJEEV (KAMBISSARI)  
SRI.P.BIJIMON

RESPONDENT(S) :-  
-----

1. SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE  
OFFICE OF SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE  
ALAPPUZHA - 688 001.
2. CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
OFFICE OF CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, MAVELIKKARA  
PIN: 690 101.
3. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
VALLIKUNNAM POLICE STATION, PIN: 690 501.
4. PRABHAKARAN, AGED 40,  
S/O.ANANDAN, APARNA, THEKKEMURI  
VALLIKUNNAM P.O. 690 501.

R1 TO R3 BY SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.C.R.SYAMKUMAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR  
ADMISSION ON 28-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED  
THE FOLLOWING:

jvt

WP(C).No. 2316 of 2015 (L)

-----

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS :-

-----

EXT.P1: THE COPY OF THE SALE DEED NO.2548 DATED 25/10/1978 OF  
BARANICAVU SRO ALONG WITH ENGLISH TRANSLATION.

EXT.P2: TRUE COPY OF TAX RECEIPT DATED 16/7/2014 ISSUED BY  
VALLIKUNNAM VILLAGE ALONG WITH ENGLISH TRANSLATION.

EXT.P3: TRUE COPY OF ORDER IN I.A 2422/14 IN OS 425/14 DATED  
1.10.2014 PASSED BY MUNSIFF COURT, KAYAMKULAM.

EXT.P4: THE COPY OF REPRESENTATION DATED 15/1/15 MOVED BEFORE  
RESPONDENT NO.2 ALONG WITH ENGLISH TRANSLATION.

EXT.P5: THE COPY OF REPRESENTATION DATED 14/1/15 MOVED BEFORE  
3RD RESPONDENT ALONG WITH ENGLISH TRANSLATION.

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS :- NIL

-----

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

**ASHOK BHUSHAN, Ag. C.J &  
A.M. SHAFFIQUE, J.**

-----  
**W.P.(C) No.2316 of 2015**  
-----

**Dated this the 28<sup>th</sup> day of January 2015**

**J U D G M E N T**

**Ashok Bhushan, Ag.CJ**

Heard the learned Government Pleader. No one entered appearance for the petitioner.

2. By this writ petition, the petitioner prayed for a direction to respondents 1 to 3 to afford adequate and effective police protection to the petitioner and his family from the acts of violence by the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent.

3. Learned Government Pleader submits that after receiving the complaint from the petitioner, the petitioner as well as respondent No.4 were called for a statement and from the statement it transpired that there is no threat of any kind from respondent No.4 and the petitioner has filed this writ petition only on an apprehension that some obstruction will be created in construction of the compound wall.

We do not find any good ground to entertain the writ petition and hence, the writ petition is closed.

**Sd/-  
ASHOK BHUSHAN  
Ag. CHIEF JUSTICE**

**Sd/-  
A.M. SHAFFIQUE  
JUDGE**