

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.R.RAMACHANDRA MENON

FRIDAY, THE 13TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/24TH MAGHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 2289 of 2015 (I)

PETITIONER :

**LEELA KUMARI AMMA, AGED 38 YEARS,
D/O.LATE MEENAKSHI AMMA,
MENTALLY RETARDED REPRESENTED BY NEXT FRIEND AMBILI
W/O.VIJAYAKUMAR, KOCHU VEETIL PADEETTATHIL
PRAYER NORTH MURI, PUTHUPPALLY VILLAGE, PRAYAR P.O.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.D.SAJEEV (KAMBISSARI)
SRI.P.BIJIMON**

RESPONDENTS :

- 1. DISTRICT SURVEY SUPERINTENDENT,
OFFICE OF DISTRICT SURVEY SUPRINTENDENT ALAPPUZHA P.O.,
PIN - 688001.**
- 2. TAHSILDAR,TALUK OFFICE,
KARTHIKAPPALLY, HARIPAD P.O., PIN - 690 514**
- 3. VILLAGE OFFICER, PUTHUPPALLY VILLAGE,
PUTHUPPALLY P.O., KAYAMKULAM, PIN - 690 527**

R1 TO R3 BY SENIOR GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. JOSEPH GEORGE

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 13-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

bp

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

- P1: THE COPY OF GIFT DEED NO.2568/80 DATED 22.7.1980 OF KAYAMKULAM SRO
- P2: THE COPY OF DEATH CERTIFICATE DATED 27.9.2003 ISSUED BY THE SECRETARY, OACHIRA GRAMA PANCHAYAT.
- P3: THE COPY OF COMMUNICATION DATED 31.10.2014 FORWARDED TO 2ND RESPONDENT BY 4TH RESPONDENT
- P4: THE COPY OF APPLICATION DATED 16.12.2014 MOVED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE 2ND RESPONDENT.
- P5: THE COPY OF THE SURVEY PLAN DATED 30.12.2014 ISSUED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

bp

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, J.

W.P(C). No. 2289 of 2015

Dated this the 13th day of February, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner has approached this Court with the following prayers:

- “i. To issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction to respondents No.1 to issue the petitioner with resurvey plan of 34 cents of property comprised in survey No 7279 of Puthuppally village so as to enable the petitioner to measure out her property and to determine its boundary as expeditiously as possible within such time fixed by this Hon'ble Court.*
- ii. Such other reliefs which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the interest of justice.”*

2. When the matter was taken up for consideration on the last occasion, ie, on 27.01.2015, there was no representation for the petitioner and hence adjourned to this day. Today also, the position is not different. It appears that the petitioner has lost interest in the cause of action.

Dismissed for non prosecution.

Sd/-

P.R. RAMACHANDRA MENON, JUDGE.