

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE

THURSDAY, THE 22ND DAY OF JANUARY 2015/2ND MAGHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 2260 of 2015 (F)

PETITIONER(S):

SREEJA E.V AGED 35 YEARS
D/O.A.G.VISWANADHAN NAIR, EDACKATTIL, VALLICHIRA P.O.
ERATTUPETTA, KOTTAYAM DISTRICT PIN - 686 121.

BY ADVS.SRI.M.RAJENDRAN NAIR
SMT.M.SANTHY

RESPONDENT(S):

1. STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO EDUCATION
DEPARTMENT
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM. PIN - 695 001.

2. THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION
KOTTAYAM DISTRICT --

3. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER
KANJIRAPPALLY, KOTTAYAM DISTRICT -68661

4. THE MANAGER
MUSLIM GIRLS HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL, ERATTUPETTA
KOTTAYAM DISTRICT, PIN - 686 121.

5. V.M.MUHAMMED LAISAL
HIGH SCHOOL ASSISTANT
MUSLIM GIRLS HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL, ERATTUPETTA
KOTTAYAMDISTRICT, PIN - 686 121.

R BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER: SRI V VIJULAL SR.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
22-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S) ' EXHIBITS:

EXT.P-1: THE TRUE COPY OF THE APPOINTMENT ORDER DATED 2.6.2014

EXT.P-2: THE TRUE COPY OF THE STATEMENT OF CHANGE OF STAFF DULY
CERTIFIED BY THE MANAGER

EXT.P-3: THE TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER OF THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL
OFFICER DATED 29.10.2014

EXT.P-4: A TRUE COPY OF THE GOVERNMENT ORDER G.O(P)
NO.220/2005/EDN. DATED 19.7.2005

EXT.P-5: A TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER G.O(P) 165/2008 DATED 19.9.2008

EXT.P-6: THE TRUE COPY OF THE APPEAL PETITION DATED 10.11.2014
SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER

RESPONDENT(S) ' EXHIBITS:NIL

True Copy/

P A to Judge

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, J.
=====

W.P(C).No.2260 of 2015
=====

Dated this the 22nd day of January, 2015

JUDGMENT

Petitioner was appointed as UPSA in an aided school under the management of the 4th respondent in a leave vacancy. Petitioner submits that thereafter, she was reappointed in regular vacancy in the year 2005. The Manager sought for approval of the appointment of the petitioner. This was rejected by the third respondent. It is submitted that in the place of petitioner, 5th respondent was appointed without hearing the petitioner. Challenging appointment of the 5th respondent and seeking approval of petitioner's appointment, he preferred Ext.P6 appeal before the Deputy Director of Education, Kottayam/second respondent. Aggrieved by non-consideration of Ext.P6 appeal by the second respondent, petitioner filed this Writ Petition.

2. In view of the limited request for early disposal of Ext.P6, there shall be a direction to the second respondent to dispose of Ext.P6 within a period of two months after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and respondents 4 and 5.

The Writ Petition is disposed of.

Sd/-

A.MUHAMED MUSTAQUE, JUDGE.

Sbna/23/01/15