

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 10TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2015/21ST MAGHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 2252 of 2015 (F)

PETITIONER(S):

**K.V.JAMES,
KORAMANGALATH HOUSE, KONGORPILLY P.O., ALANGADU,
NORTH PARAVUR.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.K.BALACHANDRAN (MANGALATH)
SRI.RAJESH NAIR
SRI.BIJOY CHANDRAN**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. FERTILIZERS AND CHEMICALS TRAVANCORE LTD.,
REPRESENTED BY ITS CHAIRMAN AND MANAGING DIRECTOR,
CORPORATE OFFICE, UDYOGAMANDAL, PIN-683 501.**
- 2. ASSISTANT PROVIDENT FUND COMMISSIONER (PENSION),
SUB REGIONAL OFFICE, KALOOR, KOCHI-17.**
- 3. REGIONAL PROVIDENT FUND COMMISSIONER,
SUB REGIONAL OFFICE, KALOOR, KOCHI-17.**

**R1 BY SRI.M.GOPIKRISHNAN NAMBIAR
R2-R3 BY ADVS. N.N SUGUNAPALAN
SMT.T.N.GIRIJA, SC
SRI.A.RAJASIMHAN,SC**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
10-02-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

msv/

APPENDIX

PETITIONER(S)' EXHIBITS

EXT.P1 : COPY OF THE MEMENTO DT.31-3-2009 PRESENTED TO THE PETITIONER.

**EXT.P2 : COPY OF THE STATEMENT DT.31-3-2009 SHOWING THE SETTLEMENT OF
ACCOUNTS OF THE PETITIONER.**

**EXT.P3 : COPY OF THE COVERING LETTER DT.28-10-2010 ISSUED BY THE CHIEF
MANAGER (FINANCE) OF R1 COMPANY.**

**EXT.P4 : COPY OF THE LETTER DT.23-2-2011 ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER ON
BEHALF OF THE R1.**

**EXT.P5 : COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DT.29-8-13 IN WPC 18418/13 AND CONNECTED
CASES.**

**EXT.P6 : COPY OF THE REQUEST LETTER DT.1-2-14 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER
BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT.**

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS:

NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.S.TO JUDGE

Ms v/

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.

=====

W.P.(C) No.2252 of 2015 - F

=====

Dated this the 10th day of February, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner's contention is that after retirement of the petitioner from the services of the 1st respondent, there was some revision of wages made effective to the employees of the 1st respondent, which revision was granted to retired employees also. The 1st respondent has admittedly paid the amounts due under such revision and has also deducted the Provident Fund contributions as per the Employees' Provident Funds and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952 and remitted the same to the Provident Fund Organisation. The petitioner seeks revision of pension in accordance with the enhanced contributions.

2. The issue is covered by Ext.P5 judgment. The very same directions shall apply to the petitioner's case

also. The Provident Fund Organisation shall consider the matter and do the necessary within a period of two months from the date of issuance of the certified copy of this judgment in accordance with the directions at Ext.P5 judgment.

The writ petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE

SB

// true copy //

P.A to Judge