

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU

WEDNESDAY, THE 21ST DAY OF JANUARY 2015/1ST MAGHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 2159 of 2015 (T)

PETITIONER :

**DEVAKI
W/O. KESAVAN, AGED 61 YEARS
RESIDING AT CHETTUKAVUNGAL VEEDU
MULANKUNNATHUKAVU P.O., THRISSUR - 680 581.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.T.C.SURESH MENON
SRI.P.S.APPU**

RESPONDENT :

**THE MULANKUNNATHUKAVU GRAMA PANCHAYATH
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, VELAPPAYA ROAD
P.O. MULANKUNNATHUKAVU, THRISSUR - 680 581.**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 21-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

Mn

...2/-

WP(C).No. 2159 of 2015 (T)

APPENDIX

PETITIONERS' EXHIBITS :

EXHIBIT-P1- TRUE COPY OF THE TAX RECEIPT ISSUED BY THE VILLAGE OFFICER TO THE PETITIONER DATED 02/04/2014.

EXHIBIT-P2- TRUE COPY OF THE POSSESSION CERTIFICATE ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER DATED 20/07/2012.

EXHIBIT-P3- TRUE COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE ISSUED BY THE VILLAGE OFFICER TO THE PETITIONER DATED 23/10/2014.

EXHIBIT-P3(e)-TRUE COPY OF THE ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF EXHIBIT-P3.

EXHIBIT-P4- TRUE COPY OF THE ELECTRICITY BILL ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER DATED 01/04/2014.

EXHIBIT-P4(e)-TRUE COPY OF THE ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF EXHIBIT-P4.

EXHIBIT-P5- TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER PASSED BY THE RESPONDENT PANCHAYATH TO THE PETITIONER DATED 12/05/2014.

EXHIBIT-P5(e)-TRUE COPY OF THE ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF EXHIBIT-P5.

EXHIBIT-P6- TRUE COPY OF FEW PHOTOGRAPHS DEPICTING THE LIE OF THE PETITIONER'S PROPERTY DATED NIL.

EXHIBIT-P7- TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER PASSED BY THE RESPONDENT PANCHAYATH DATED 24/12/2014.

EXHIBIT-P7(e)-TRUE COPY OF THE ENGLISH TRANSLATION OF EXHIBIT-P7

RESPONDENT(S)' EXHIBITS : NIL

//TRUE COPY//

P.A. TO JUDGE

Mn

DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU, J.

W.P.(c) No. 2159 of 2015

Dated this the 21st day of January, 2015

JUDGMENT

In the light of the ratio laid down in **Jafarkhan v. K.A. Kochumakkar and Others** [2012 (1) KHC 523], the present writ petition is also disposed of on the same lines, applying the ratio laid down by the learned Division Bench in the said decision, thereby quashing Exhibits P5 and P7.

Consequently, the respondent is directed to consider the application of the petitioner for regularization in accordance with law, and pass appropriate orders thereon, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

DAMA SESHADRI NAIDU
JUDGE

DMR/-