

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.VINOD CHANDRAN

TUESDAY, THE 7TH DAY OF APRIL 2015/17TH CHAITHRA, 1937

WP(C).No. 6267 of 2009 (C)

PETITIONER(S):

**JOHN LUKE, PADINJATTETHIL HOUSE,
KOZHANCHERY, PATHANAMTHITTA,
REPRESENTED BY HIS POWER OF ATTORNEY HOLDER,
LUKOSE. Y., RAJESH VILLA, NEAR POLICE STATION,
PATHANAPURAM P.O., KOLLAM.**

BY ADV. SRI.P.RAMAKRISHNAN.

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. THE PROVIDENT FUND COMMISSIONER,
EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUND ORGANISATION,
SUB REGIONAL OFFICE, KOLLAM.**
- 2. RECOVERY OFFICER,
EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUND ORGANISATION,
SUB REGIONAL OFFICE, KOLLAM.**
- 3. THE VILLAGE OFFICER,
PIRAVANTHOOR VILLAGE,
PATHANAPURAM TALUK, KOLLAM.**

**R1 & R2 BY ADVS. SRI.PIRAPPANCODE V.S.SUDHIR, SC.
SRI.P.V.SUDEEP.**

R3 BY SR. GOVT. PLEADER SRI.BIJU MEENATTOOR.

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 07-04-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

rs.

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:-

- EXT.P1 COPY OF THE SALE DEED DATED 30/04/2004 OF PATHANAPURAM
SUB REGISTRY.
- EXT.P2 COPY OF THE PETITION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE
THE 1ST RESPONDENT DATED 30/07/2008.
- EXT.P3 COPY OF THE LETTER DATED 04/09/2008 OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS:-

- EXT.R1A COPY OF THE LETTER DATED 30/03/2000 OF THE UNION BANK,
KOLLAM BRANCH.
- EXT.R1B COPY OF THE LETTER DATED 30/01/2002 OF THE UNION BANK,
KOLLAM.
- EXT.R1C COPY OF THE DEMAND NOTICE.
- EXT.R1D COPY OF THE LETTER DATED 04/09/2008.

//TRUE COPY//

PA. TO JUDGE

rs.

K. VINOD CHANDRAN, J.
=====

W.P.(C) No.6267 of 2009 - C
=====

Dated this the 7th day of April, 2015

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner was before this Court, since there was an attachment order against the property purchased by him from one K. Yasodharan and Smt. Tulasi Kumar. The attachment was made by the respondent Organisation for dues with respect to an establishment called M/s.Kesava Cashew Company. The learned Standing Counsel for the respondent Organisation submits that, the attachment has been lifted since, the establishment had satisfied the dues.

In such circumstance, the writ petition would stand allowed recording the submission of the respondent Organization.

Sd/-
K. VINOD CHANDRAN,
JUDGE

SB

// true copy //

P.A to Judge