

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE THE AG.CHIEF JUSTICE MR.ASHOK BHUSHAN
&
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.SHAFFIQUE

THURSDAY, THE 22ND DAY OF JANUARY 2015/2ND MAGHA, 1936

WP(C).No. 2004 of 2015 (A)

PETITIONER :

KUNJIKANNAN, AGED 64 YEARS
S/O.KUNJIRAMAN, RESIDING AT DOOR NO.5/189, AKAKALLUMMAL
KAYANNA P.O., PERAMBRA, KOZHIKODE DISTRICT.

BY ADVS.SRI.O.D.SIVADAS
SRI.C.RADHAKRISHNAN

RESPONDENTS :

1. CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
PERAMPRA POLICE STATION, PERAMPRA
KOZHIKODE DISTRICT - 673 525.
2. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PERUVANNAMOOZHI, POLICE STATION, PERUVANNAMOOZHI
KOZHIKODE DISTRICT, PIN: 673 528.
3. DISTRICT POLICE CHIEF,
KOZHIKODE RURAL DISTRICT, KOZHIKODE - 673 001.
4. THANAKANDI ANEESH,
S/O.SANKARAN NAIR, THANAKANDI HOUSE, VAIKUNDAM
BALUSSERY, KOZHIKODE DISTRICT, 673 612.

R1 TO R3 BY STATE ATTORNEY, SRI.P. VIJAYARAGHAVAN.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON
22-01-2015, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WP(C).No. 2004 of 2015 (A)

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS :

EXT.P1: TRUE COPY OF THE STAGE CARRIAGE PERMIT OF BUS NO.KL-36-2602
ISSUED BY THE RTA, KOZHIKODE.

EXT.P2: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DATED 9/1/2015 MADE IN C.M.P NO.5431/2014
IN CONNECTION WITH CRIME NO.260/2014 OF PERUVANNAMOOZHI POLICE
STATION BY THE JUDICIAL FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE COURT-II,
PERAMBRA.

EXT.P3: TRUE COPY OF THE PETITION DATED 16/1/2015 FILED BEFORE THE
1ST RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS :

NIL

/TRUE COPY/

PA TO JUDGE

ASHOK BHUSHAN, Ag.C.J. & A.M. SHAFFIQUE, J.

W.P.(C) No. 2004 OF 2015

Dated this the 22nd day of January, 2015

JUDGMENT

Ashok Bhushan, Ag.C.J.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned State Attorney. No notice is being issued to 4th respondent in view of the order which is being passed.

2. By this writ petition the petitioner has prayed for a mandamus commanding the 1st respondent to provide proper and adequate police protection to the life of the petitioner and his workers engaged in operating the bus having registration No.KL-36-2602. Petitioner's case is that 4th respondent who was only granted temporary permit has unnecessarily interfered with the plying of vehicle by the petitioner which has already been sanctioned in his favour by the Court.

3. Learned State Attorney submits that 4th respondent was called to the police station and has already been warned not to create any obstruction. He submits that no information regarding obstruction is received thereafter.

4. In the above view of the matter, we are of the opinion that no direction is needed. In the event any further obstruction is created, it shall be open for the petitioner to bring it into the notice of respondents 1 and 2.

With the above observation, the Writ Petition is disposed of.

**Ashok Bhushan,
Acting Chief Justice.**

**A.M. Shaffique,
Judge.**